

EXECUTIVE/ GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE

TIME and DATE: 4:00 p.m., January 5, 2011

PLACE: Eugene Brucker Education Center Auditorium, 4100 Normal Street, San Diego, CA 92103

MINUTES

Subcommittee Members: Gordon, Johnson, Pinson, Stump

AGENDA ITEMS	ASSIGNMENTS/UNDERSTANDINGS
Meeting Called to Order: (Johnson)	Vice Chair Pinson called the meeting to order at 4:13 p.m.
Roll Call: (Champy) (Action)	Subcommittee members in attendance: J. Gordon, G. Johnson (excused), L. Pinson, J. Stump ICOC Support Staff: A. Champy District Staff: S. Markey Other: Scott Barnett (BOE Trustee)
Public Testimony	Vice Chair Pinson called for public testimony and there was none.
1. Approval of Executive/Governance Subcommittee Minutes for 12-1-10 and 12-9-10 (Johnson) (Exhibit) (Action)	<p>Motion: Mr. Stump moved to approve the minutes from the 12-1-10 meeting with the following corrections:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.) Under line item #4, change “<i>Mr. Stump suggested</i>” to “<i>The consensus was</i>” and delete “<i>a footer or memorandum item under the full ICOC meetings page and indicate whether or not</i>” 2.) Under the motion in line item #7, remove “<i>in March 2011,</i>” and replace it with “<i>upon appointment in March.</i>” 3.) Under the last motion in item #10, insert “<i>No vote was taken.</i>” <p>Mr. Gordon seconded the motion with the corrections mentioned by Mr. Stump. The motion carried with no objections or abstentions.</p> <p>Motion: Mr. Stump moved to approve the minutes from the 12-9-10 minutes as read. Mr. Pinson seconded the motion. The motion carried with no objections or abstentions.</p>
2. Develop a Procedure that moves the recommendations from the ICOC to BOE and be placed on BOE agenda (Markey)(Action)	Mr. Stump suggested that there be a video or audio from the December 14, 2010 BOE meeting, on the subject of the new BOE ICOC Selection Process played to the ICOC, so they can see the comments from the Board members regarding this subject.

AGENDA ITEMS	ASSIGNMENTS/UNDERSTANDINGS
	<p>Motion: Mr. Stump motioned to have a special Executive/ Governance subcommittee meeting sometime prior to the full 1-20-10 ICOC meeting. For the development of procedures to be written and taken forward to the 1-20-11 ICOC meeting. Mr. Gordon seconded the motion.</p> <p>Mr. Stump amended the motion; a special meeting to discuss the new BOE ICOC appointment process, interim support and development of a procedure that moves the recommendations from the ICOC to BOE and be placed on BOE agenda. Mr. Gordon seconded the motion. The motion carried with no objections or abstentions.</p>
<p>3. ADJOURNMENT (Action) Meeting adjourned at 5:13 p.m. to the next special Executive/ Governance subcommittee meeting.</p>	
<p>Next Meeting: February 2, 2011, at 4:00 p.m. in Eugene Brucker Education Center Auditorium, 4100 Normal Street, San Diego, CA 92103</p>	

SPECIAL EXECUTIVE/ GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE

TIME and DATE: 4:00 p.m., January 13, 2011

PLACE: Eugene Brucker Education Center Auditorium, 4100 Normal Street, San Diego, CA 92103

MINUTES

Subcommittee Members: Gordon, Johnson, Pinson, Stump

AGENDA ITEMS	ASSIGNMENTS/UNDERSTANDINGS
Meeting Called to Order: (Johnson)	Meeting called to order at 4:13 pm.
Roll Call: (Champy) (Action)	Subcommittee members in attendance: J. Gordon (arrived at 4:35 p.m.), G. Johnson, L. Pinson, J. Stump ICOC Support Staff: A. Champy District Staff: A. Donovan, G. Harris
Public Testimony	Chair Johnson called for public testimony and there was none.
1. BOE's ICOC Selection Process (Action)	<p>Mr. Stump asked to discuss items #2 and #3 first before discussing item #1.</p> <p>Mr. Pinson and Chair Johnson were generally in favor of a process which promotes having construction professionals on the ICOC selected by the entire board while Mr. Stump and Mr. Gordon opposed such a limitation on ICOC membership and wanted to continue the current practice of board members individually selecting ICOC members.</p> <p>Motion: Mr. Stump moved to approve the following process:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">1.) ICOC members are to meet statutory requirements/provisions through a vetting process.2.) There should be no change in practice to modify BOE members prerogative3.) Not adopt any proposal which would change the nature of the ICOC. <p>Mr. Gordon seconded the motion. The motion failed with the following vote: Yaye: Stump, Gordon Naye: Johnson, Pinson</p> <p>Motion: Chair Johnson motioned to approve an outsourcing process.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">1.) Follow Prop. 39; adopt model of selection of

AGENDA ITEMS	ASSIGNMENTS/UNDERSTANDINGS
	<p>professional organizations as stated in the 12-14-10 Board Item document for item #H-5.</p> <p>2.) Remove the Chamber of Commerce organization and replace it with a bonafide Taxpayers' Association.</p> <p>3.) Give District the right to vote up or down on the list of professional organizations.</p> <p>Mr. Pinson seconded the motion. The motion failed with the following votes: Yaye: Johnson Naye: Gordon, Stump Abstention: Pinson</p> <p>Mr. Stump does not agree with the proposed BOE's ICOC selection process. He expressed the following concerns:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.) Diminishes and changes the current perogative's of the elected officials 2.) It changes the legal nature of this oversight committee from a citizens' oversight to a professional oversight committee 3.) This introduces Jim Crowe laws. <p>Motion: Mr. Stump moved that organizations must have their offices located within the school district. Mr. Gordon seconded the motion. The motion failed with the following vote: Yaye: Stump, Gordon Naye: Johnson Abstain: Pinson</p> <p>Motion: Mr. Pinson motioned to approve the process of determining 5 to 8 professional disciplines associated with Proposition S to be recommended to the ICOC at the 1-20-11 meeting. Mr. Stump seconded the motion. The motion failed with the following vote: Yaye: Pinson Naye: Gordon, Johnson, Stump</p> <p>Motion: Mr. Gordon motioned that vacancies be noticed and posted and be sent to professional organizations. Mr. Pinson seconded the motion. The motion passed with the following vote: Yaye: Gordon, Pinson, Stump</p>

AGENDA ITEMS	ASSIGNMENTS/UNDERSTANDINGS
	<p>Naye: Johnson</p> <p>Motion: Mr. Pinson moved that a vetting process be established for the ICOC. Chair Johnson seconded the motion. The motion passed with all members in favor.</p> <p>Mr. Stump made the following comment: “The purpose of this committee is not to be an advisory committee to the District on how to carry on this work. The purpose of this committee is to oversee the District’s compliance of Prop. S.”</p> <p>After six separate motions the recommendations the Executive/Governance committee agreed to advance to the full ICOC were the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Applicants should be vetted ○ ICOC vacancies should be publicly posted ○ “Professional Organizations” will receive notice of ICOC vacancies
<p>2. Develop a Procedure that moves the recommendations from the ICOC to BOE and be placed on BOE agenda (Markey)(Action)</p>	<p>There was not a clear consensus among the members on what this item meant.</p> <p>Mr. Stump described two scenarios of what he wanted from this item:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.) “official” participation on special Prop S related BOE items. He compared the ICOC to other District Advisory Councils. He stated that when there is a board item on GATE, the GATE District Advisory Council is given time to present their reactions/opinions of the staff presentation. He would like the ICOC to have a similar “official” or “priority” status to be given the opportunity to respond/opine during 1) the special Prop S board presentations & 2) Prop S audit presentations. 2.) “routine” participation on all items affecting Prop S. He didn’t give a particular example, but he stated that time for the ICOC comment should be reserved on every BOE item that impacts Prop S

AGENDA ITEMS	ASSIGNMENTS/UNDERSTANDINGS
	<p>Chair Johnson agreed with Mr. Stump, but went further to state that the ICOC should have the ability to directly place items on the BOE agenda.</p> <p>Chair Johnson was of the opinion that a staff report was promised at this meeting. Mr. Harris would follow up by Tuesday to see if such a report exists, and if so to provide it to the ICOC members.</p> <p>Mr. Stump wants the item to remain on the agenda until ICOC Consultant Support is able to prepare a report describing the procedure for accomplishing the task.</p>
<p>3. Interim ICOC Consultant Support (Action)</p>	<p>Chair Johnson stated that Mr. Goshorn was working on two items: 1.) Quarterly Report and 2.) Annual Report.</p> <p>The committee believed that a promise was made to bring back Colbi under a staff augmentation contract until his contract was renewed.</p> <p>The committee asked whether Colbi can continue to perform work under his current NTP even though his contract has expired.</p> <p>The committee asked whether the Colbi contract still had headroom remaining.</p> <p>Mr. Pinson wanted assurance that Colbi would be able to complete outstanding tasks</p> <p>Chair Johnson wants a status update by Tuesday, January 18.</p>
<p>4. ADJOURNMENT (Action)</p> <p>Meeting adjourned to the next meeting date and place stated.</p> <p>Next Meeting: February 2, 2010, at 4:00 p.m. in Eugene Brucker Education Center Auditorium, 4100 Normal Street, San Diego, CA 92103</p>	

GH:ac
1-14-11