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All San Diego students will graduate with the skills, motivation, curiosity and resilience to succeed in their choice of college and career in order to lead and participate in the society of tomorrow.
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Recommendations and Assurance

School Name: $\qquad$ Clay Elementary $\qquad$ Phone: $\qquad$ 619-344-5700 $\qquad$ FAx: 619-344-5749

Site Contact Person: $\qquad$ Lindsay Michaliszyn $\qquad$ E-mall Address: $\qquad$ lmichaliszyn@sandi.net $\qquad$

Indicate which of the following federal and state programs are consolidated in this SPSA (Check all that apply):
x Title I Schoolwide Programs (SWP)CSI School
ATSI School

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school's site plan and its related expenditures to the district Board of Education for approval, and assures the Board of the following:

1. The SSC is composed correctly, and formed in accordance with SDUSD Board of Education policy and state law.
2. The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and SDUSD Board of Education policies, including those Board policies relating to material changes in the school plan requiring Board approval.
3. The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following site groups or committees before adopting this plan.

## CHECK ALL THAT APPLY TO YOUR SITE AND LIST THE DATE OF THE PRESENTATION TO SS:

x English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC) * combined with SSC
$\square \quad$ Community Advisory Committee for Special Education Programs (CAC)
$\square \quad$ Gifted and Talented Education Program Advisory Committee (GATE)
$x \quad$ Site Governance Team (SGT)
Other (list):

Date of presentation:
-10/4/22
Date of presentation: $\qquad$
Date of presentation: $\qquad$
Date of presentation: _10/4/22
Date of presentation: $\qquad$

1. The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in the site plan and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in SDUSD Board of Education policies and in the Local Educational Agency (LEA) Plan.
2. The site plan composition is rooted in thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.
3. The site plan or revisions to the site plan were adopted by the SSC on:
_10/4/22 $\qquad$
The undersigned declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that these Assurances were signed in San Diego, California, on the dates) indicated.


Type/Print Name of SSC Chairperson
$\frac{\text { Oswald do desantigq } \rightarrow 2}{\text { Type/Print Name of ELAC Representative }}$
Monica tavel
Type/Print Name of Area Superintendent


Signature of SSC Chairperson / Date


Email \& Submit Document with Original Signatures Strategic Planning for Student Achievement Department Eugene Brucker Education Center, Room 3126
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## School Plan for Student Achievement: Executive Summary

## Purpose and Description

This School Plan for Student Achievement fulfills the requirements of a school wide Title 1 program and ATSI school.

## Purpose and Description (ESSA Requirements)

All goals contained in the SPSA are directly linked to the SDUSD's LCAP goals 1-5 as outlined below. All LCAP goals contained in this plan directly support the ESSA requirements to close academic achievement gaps for all students.

LCAP Goal 1: Cultivating Inclusive, Anti-Racism and Restorative Schools, Classrooms \& District - with Equity at the Core and Support for the Whole Child
LCAP Goal 2: Access to Broad and Challenging Curriculum
LCAP Goal 3: Accelerating Student Learning With High Expectations for All
LCAP Goal 4: Quality Leadership, Teaching and Learning
LCAP Goal 5: Parent and Community Engagement with Highly Regarded Neighborhood Schools that Serve Students, Families, and Communities

## Engaging Educational Partners

UPDATE TO YEAR 2021-22 MTGS.
At Clay Elementary, our school community engaged in a collaborative process in the development of the SPSA. It is vital to involve all members of our school community to create a plan that supports all of our students. In the 2020-21 school year, stakeholders were involved in the development of the 2021-2022 budget development process, through multiple meetings. In addition, during the 2022-2023 school year, we held meetings with stakeholders to get input on SPSA goals.

Lighthouse/ILT
12/7/21
1/11/22
3/1/22
4/18/22
8/19/22- review data a develop professional learning plan for the 22-23 school year
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SSC
10/12/21 elections, approve parents forms, welcome new members
12/7/21 review data and budget
$1 / 25 / 22$ review data and budget
2/1/22 review data and budget
3/1/22- Review data and site budget. Approve 22-23 budget.
4/26/22 review budget and data
5/24/22 review data and vote on budget alignment
10/4/22- Review data and approve SPSA.
*ELAC and SSC voted to combine

## Resource Inequities

Clay's root cause analysis involved examining data from CAASPP, CA Dashboard, CA Healthy Kids survey, CA Parent survey, FAST and review of the 2020-2021 SPSA. CAASPP data is included below.

| Grade Level | 2017 ELA | 2018 ELA | 2019 ELA |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  | 2022 ELA |
| 3rd | $48.8 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $39 \%$ |
| 4th | $42 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| 5th | $40.4 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| Total | $43.5 \%$ | $47.9 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

When examining our ELA data over the past 4 years, the data showed a decline overall and in all grade-levels. The COVID pandemic created a great deal of learning loss for our students, contributing to the decline in CAASPP scores.

| Grade Level | 2017 Math | 2018 Math | 2019 Math |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3rd | $59.5 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| 4th | $36 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| 5th | $20.8 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Total | $37.9 \%$ | $41.9 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
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When examining our Math data over the past 4 years, the data showed a decline overall and in all grade-levels. The COVID pandemic created a great deal of learning loss for our students, contributing to the decline in CAASPP scores.
FAST ELA data

| Grade Level/subgroup | Winter 2019 ELA | Spring 2021 ELA | Fall 2021 ELA |  | ELA Spring 2022 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3rd | $75 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $60 \%$ |  |  |
| 4th | $64 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $48 \%$ |  |  |
| 5 th | $55 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $33 \%$ |  |  |
| Total | $64.6 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $50 \%$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Grade Level/subgroup | Winter 2019 Math | Spring 2021 Math | Fall 2021 Math | Spring Math 2022 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 3rd | $70 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $59 \%$ |
| 4th | $63 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| 5 th | $56 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| Total | $63 \%$ |  | $45 \%$ | $50 \%$ |

SPSA focus:
To best support student progress, teacher PLC time will focus on strengthening tier 1 instruction, analyzing common student assessments (FAST), and monitoring target students. Our Intervention teacher will support our English Learners, Students with disabilities and other students who are not making progress on grade-level standards.

## SCHOOL SITE COUNCIL MEMBERSHIP

| Member Name | Role |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lindsay Michaliszyn | Principal |
| Andrea Loyko | Classroom Teacher |
| Molly Powell | Classroom Teacher |
| Enolia Albanez | Other School Personnel/Secretary |
| Carolina Taylor | Parent-Chairperson |
| Jodi Flippo | Parent |
| Christina Ross | Parent, DAC representative |
| Digna Mota | Parent |
| Osualdo deSantiago | Parent |
| Vicki Cortes | Classroom Teacher |

## Clay Elementary School Plan for Student Achievement

## Goals, Strategies, Expenditures, \& Annual Review

## LCAP 1: Cultivating Inclusive, Anti-Racism and Restorative Schools, Classrooms and District

Call to Action Belief Statement
Our work is about widening the sphere of success by engineering equitable learning conditions for all students. We believe classrooms can be places of hope where teachers and students imagine the kind of society we could live in and where educators design meaningful and inclusive education experiences in order for students to be agents of change and make this hope a reality.

Black Youth: Developing antiracist and restorative school communities.

## District LCAP Goals

1. Cultivating Inclusive, Anti-Racism and Restorative Schools, Classrooms and District - with Equity at the Core and Support for the Whole Child

## Annual Review of This Goal: SPSA Reviewed 2021-22 <br> *Analysis

Describe the overall implementation of the 2021-22 strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the 2021-22 articulated goal(s).

Our goal for last year included increasing student attendance and decreasing suspension rates in an effort to increase student connection to school. According to data from Hoonuit, chronic absenteeism increased during the 21-22 school year to $54 \%$, up $25 \%$ from the previous school year, which was $29 \%$. While our goal was not met, there were many factors that contributed to this, such as, students not being able to attend school with any COVID related symptoms, family members being ill, and a spike in COVID rates during the winter. Our focus during this time was on student and staff safety.
Clay's suspension rate increased from 0 to $3 \%$ suspension in the 21-22 school year.
In an effort to continue to decrease chronic absenteeism a social/emotional goal was created around school belonging. Due to school closures in March 2020, students we not attending in person learning until the Spring of 2021, this created challenges in fostering a connection between students and school. With students now physically on campus, we have created opportunity for students to engage in more activities that will help to promote a connection to school.
Actions and strategies implemented to support these goals included:

- School wide Implementation of Leader in Me 7 Habits
- Monthly attendance awards
- Counselor parent meetings and home visits to connect families with resources.

San Diego Unified
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## - Restorative Practices including classroom meetings and restorative circles.

## *Major Differences

Briefly describe any major differences between the 2021-22 intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal(s).

Our goal to increase chronic absenteeism was not met due to COVID related issues. Many interventions were inconsistent due to lack of staffing due to illness.

## Changes

Describe any changes that will be made to the 2022-23 goal(s), the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal(s) as a result of this analysis.

We will continue with implementation of the 7 habits, school-wide. Based on data from the MRA (Leader in me school climate survey) our school will focus on improving:

1. School belonging: Students believe that they are cared about and understood by the people in their school and feel a sense of belonging.
2. Supportive teachers: Students have one or more teachers who provide them with the encouragement and support they need to lead their learning.

The Leader in Me program will continue to be funded under LCFF.

## *Identified Need

According to Hoonuit, chronic absenteeism increased to $54 \%$ in the $21-22$ school year, from $29 \%$ the previous school year. Data for suspensions has slightly increased from 0 to $3 \%$. In an effort to continue to increase student daily attendance our Lighthouse action committees (Culture and academics) will focus on increasing students feelings around school belonging and supportive teachers.
Historically in-person attendance had not been an issue for Clay families, however the COVID pandemic has created unique challenges centered on health and social/emotional wellbeing. We created a goal to support students as they return to school.
According to the 2022 California Healthy Kids Survey (CHKS) only $44 \%$ of 5th grade students felt that they had "meaningful participation" at school and their voice influenced school rules, decisions and actions.

| *Goal 1 | orat | lusive Culture |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Date | Grade | Objective | Baseline Percentage | Target Percentage | Measure of Success | Frequency |
| June 2023 | TK-5 | Decrease chronic absenteeism rate | 54\% | 30\% | Attendance | monthly |
| June 2023 | TK-5 | Decrease suspension rate | 3\% | 0\% | Suspension | monthly |
| June 2023 | 5th | Increase \% of students who feel strongly that they meaningfully participate in their education | 44\% | 54\% | CAL-SCHLS (CHKS) | annually |
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$\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline \text { *Annual Measurable Outcomes (Closing the Equity Gap) } & \\ \hline \text { By Date } & \text { Grade } & \text { Student Group }\end{array}$ Objective $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Baseline } \\ \text { Percentage }\end{array}\right)$
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$\checkmark$ 3. In the 2022-23 school year, Clay will develop and implement a system to monitor and analyze behavioral referrals, referrals to receive Special Education services and to determine if student groups are being disproportionately referred and the appropriate supports.
$\checkmark$ 4. Clay will create a process for ensuring all students can participate in restorative justice practices to support them through the suspension or expulsion process, which may include the assigning of a Student Champion.
$\checkmark$. Clay's Site Equity Team and/or pre-identified school committee will lead the review of data and dialogue in support of the development of safe, inclusive and culturally affirming workplaces for employees so that educators of color are retained.
$\checkmark$. Clay will intentionally engage parents, staff and community members identifying as Black/African American through surveys and interviews to learn about their experiences and gain their input/feedback on site goals and actions.
$\checkmark$ 7. Increase access to advanced classes--gate, seminar, advanced placement for black youth.
$\checkmark$ 8. Clay will study/learn culturally responsive instructional practices, [QLIs/QTPs, ethnic studies pedagogy] increasing engagement and achievement of black youth and other marginalized groups.

## Chronic absenteeism

## *Students to be served by this Strategy/Activity

All students at the school will benefit from the strategy/activity in the area of Chronic absenteeism and decreasing suspension.

## *Strategy/Activity - Description

The school counselor, attendance clerk, nurse and principal will meet monthly to monitor student attendance and suspensions. We will work collaboratively with parents, students, teachers and support staff to implement strategies that will decrease chronic absenteeism (i.e. personal phone calls, home visits, student/family goal setting, welcome back to school plan). Additionally our Lighthouse Leadership Team will continue to ensure opportunities for student leadership in an effort to engage all students in school.

## Leader in Me

## *Students to be served by this Strategy/Activity

All students will be served by this strategy with a specific emphasis on our black youth, SWD and English Learners.

## *Strategy/Activity - Description

All teachers will implement the following Leader in Me strategies inside and outside of the classroom

- Student goal setting leadership notebooks
- Student led assemblies

Student led conferences

- Student opportunities for participation and leadership in clubs

Lighthouse Leadership Team will continue to ensure opportunities for student leadership in an effort to engage all students in school.
Continued professional learning regarding implementation of the 7 Habits.
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## LCAP 2 and 3: Access to Broad and Challenging Curriculum Accelerating Student Learning with High Expectations for All <br> Call to Action Belief Statements

ELA: We believe that literacy serves as a key gateway to social justice. Assuring that each and every student is proficient in reading, writing, listening and speaking is, therefore, our moral imperative. We believe that when students are not learning it is our shared responsibility to adjust the frequency, duration, structure and intensity of instruction.

Math: All students engage in rigorous and relevant mathematics to solve problems associated with personal, civic and professional contexts and are able to effectively explain and communicate their reasoning to a variety of audiences.

English Learners: We believe in the power of multilingualism because languages are connections to culture, history, people and knowledge. Our English Learners deserve educational opportunities which honor their rich lived experiences and unique identities as valued members of society. Schools and classrooms should foster language acquisition and literacy development as a means for students to leverage their identity, exercise their voice and transform their world today to influence the future of tomorrow.

Students with Disabilities: Ownership: Principals, teachers, and support staff take ownership of all their students, including students with disabilities. Access: Students with disabilities are general education students first and should have access to a meaningful course of study. Instruction: All teachers will design instruction and create learning environments that meet students' individualized learning needs.

Graduation/Promotion Rate: All San Diego students will graduate with the skills, motivation, curiosity and resilience to succeed in their choice of college and career in order to lead and participate in the society of tomorrow.

## District LCAP Goals

2. Access to Broad and Challenging Curriculum
3. Accelerating Student Learning With High Expectations for All

## Annual Review of This Goal: SPSA Reviewed 2021-22

## *Analysis

Describe the overall implementation of the 2021-22 strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the 2021-22 articulated goal(s).

Resources were allocated to fund the following:

San Diego Unified
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-Visiting teachers from district VAPA program, to release classroom teachers from the classroom for PLC in order to design lessons based on student data and improve instructional strategies.
-Intervention Teacher to support students who are not meeting grade-level standards.
-Software licenses to support students in Reading and EL (i.e. Accelerated Reader and Raz-Kids).
Effectiveness of the strategies/activities:
-Visiting teachers were not always available/reliable. Teachers were not able to meet with grade-level teams if a sub was not available. Thus, PLC's had to be canceled or rescheduled or lacked attendance of all team members.
-We were able to provide reading intervention and ELD support to students in K-5th grade through the funding of our Resource Teacher and the extra
FTE. We used STAR data and F and P data to track reading progress.
-Despite best efforts described above, school wide achievement decreased 9\% in ELA to $36 \%$ met and exceeded standards and decreased $14.5 \%$ in Mathematics to $26 \%$ met and exceeded standards.

## *Major Differences

Briefly describe any major differences between the 2021-22 intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal(s).

With the support of our Intervention teachers, we were able to serve over 60 students in grade K-5 in reading intervention and direct ELD instruction. Using the STAR assessment and FAST data, as well as informative on-going assessments we were able track student progress. When students were not making progress they were referred for extra support or SST. Intervention support was often interrupted due to lack of staffing.
PLC's were limited due to a lack of subs in the VAPA program.

## *hanges

Describe any changes that will be made to the 2022-23 goal(s), the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal(s) as a result of this analysis.

Resources were allocated to fund the following:
. 6 Intervention Teacher to support teachers with Tier I instruction and support struggling students with Tier 2 interventions, support teachers with classroom assessments, in ELA and ELD.
-We do not have a district funded reading support teacher for the 22-23 school year.
-Visiting teachers from the VAPA program will release classroom teachers and SPED staff for professional development and PLC focused on lesson design, analyzing student data and improving instructional strategies.
-Admin will continue to ensure that guided reading is part of the daily schedule in all classrooms K-5.
-Our site will continue to administer the F\&P/DRA and FAST during testing windows. As well as the STAR reading assessment.

San Diego Unified school district
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## *Identified Need - English Language Arts

ELA FAST data.

| Grade Level | Fall 2020 | Spring 2021 | Fall 2021 | Spring 2022 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3rd | $58 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $60.5 \%$ |
| 4th | $58 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| 5th | $64 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $33 \%$ |

According to the FAST ELA assessment, students in 3rd grade increased by 18. \% while students in 4th grade had a very slight increase of $1 \%$. Students in 5th grade decreased by $10 \%$. Based on this data, there is a need for additional support for students currently in 5th grade.
CAASSP

| Grade Level | ELA 2019 | ELA 2022 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3rd | $44 \%$ | $39 \%$ |
| 4th | $56 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| 5th | $45 \%$ | $42 \%$ |

Students took the CASSPP assessment in the spring of 2022. The last time this assessment was given was in 2019. In 2022, all students in grades 3-5 were taking this assessment for the first time. Based on the data from CASSPP, students in grade 3-5 declined from where the previous group of students scored in 2019.

## *Goal 2 - English Language Arts

| By Date | Grade | Objective |  | Baseline Percentage | Target Percentage |  | Measure of Success |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 2023 | 3-5 | Increased student performance | t $34 \%$ |  | 49\% |  | CAASPP ELA | annually |
| June 2023 | 3-5 | Increased student performance | t $50 \%$ |  | 65\% |  | FAST aReading | 3 x a year |
| *Annual Measurable Outcomes (Closing the Equity Gap) English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| By Date | Grade | Student Group | Objective | Baseline Percentage |  | Target Percentage | Measure of Success | Frequency |
| June 2023 | 3-5 | Black or African American | Increase student performance | 47\% |  | 57\% | FAST aReading | 3 x year |
| June 2023 | 3-5 | English Learner | Increase student performance | 20\% |  | 30\% | FAST aReading | 3 x year |
| June 2023 | 3-5 | Students with Disabilities | Increase student performance | 32\% |  | 42\% | FAST aReading | 3 x year |

San Diego Unified school district
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| June 2023 | 3-5 | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | Increase student performance | Baseline | 43\% | FAST aReading | 3x year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 2023 | 3-5 | Hispanic or Latino | Increase student performance | 59\% | 69\% | FAST aReading | 3x year |
| June 2023 | 3-5 | Black or African American | Increase students met or exceeded standards on ELA CAASSP | 27\% | 37\% | CAASPP ELA | Annually |
| June 2023 | 3-5 | English Learner | Increase students met or exceeded standards on ELA CAASSP | 18\% | 28\% | CAASPP ELA | Annually |
| June 2023 | 3-5 | Students with Disabilities | Increase students met or exceeded standards on ELA CAASSP | 15\% | 25\% | CAASPP ELA | Annually |
| June 2023 | 3-5 | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | Increase students met or exceeded standards on ELA CAASSP | 31\% | 41\% | CAASPP ELA | Annually |
| June 2023 | 3-5 | Hispanic or Latino | Increase students met or exceeded standards on ELA CAASSP | 31\% | 41\% | CAASPP ELA | Annually |
| *Identified Need - Math |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FAST Math |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade Level |  |  | Fall 2021 |  |  | Spring 2022 |  |
| 3rd |  |  | 7\% |  |  | 59\% |  |
| 4th |  |  | 42\% |  |  | 50\% |  |
| 5th |  |  | 51\% |  |  | 29\% |  |

According to data from the FAST math assessment, Students in 3rd and 4th grade both saw an increase. Students in 3rd grade increased by $12 \%$, while students in 4th grade increased by $8 \%$. Students in 5th grade saw a decrease of $22 \%$.
CASSPP Math

| Grade level | Spring 2019 | Spring 2022 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3rd | $55 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| 4th | $31 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| 5th | $36 \%$ | $13 \%$ |

Due to school closures, the CASSPP had not been administered since the spring of 2019. When comparing the 2019 scores to the 2022 scores, there were significant declines. Students in 2022 had never taken this assessment.

| *Goal 3-Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Date | Grade | Objective |  | Baseline Percentage |  | Target Percentage |  | Measure of Success | Frequency |
| June 2023 | 3-5 | Increase student achievement |  | 25\% |  | 40\% |  | CAASPP Math | annually |
| June 2023 | 3-5 | Increase student achievement |  | 46\% |  | 61\% |  | FAST aMath | 3x a year |
| *Annual Measurable Outcomes (Closing the Equity Gap) - Math |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| By Date |  | Grade | Student Group | Objective |  | Baseline Percentage |  | Target Percentage | Measure of Success | Frequency |
| June 2023 | 3-5 | Students with Disabilities | Increase student achievement |  | 40\% |  | 50\% | FAST aMath | 3x a year |
| June 2023 | 3-5 | English Learner | Increase student achievement |  | 34\% |  | 44\% | FAST aMath | 3 x a year |
| June 2023 | 3-5 | Black or African American | Increase student achievement |  | 53\% |  | 63\% | FAST aMath | 3x a year |
| June 2023 | 3-5 | Students with Disabilities | Increase \% of students achieving met or exceeded on Math CAASSP |  | 15\% |  | 25\% | CAASPP Math | Annually |
| June 2023 | 3-5 | English Learner |  | of students et or Math | 23\% |  | 33\% | CAASPP Math | Annually |
| June 2023 | 3-5 | Black or African American |  | of students net or Math | 23\% |  | 40\% | CAASPP Math | Annually |
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| June 2023 3-5 | Hispanic or Latino | lncrease \% of students $24 \%$ <br> achieving met or <br> exceeded on Math <br> CAASSP | $34 \%$ | CAASPP Math | Annually |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## *Identified Need - English Learners

According to the CA dashboard, $15.5 \%$ of Clay Elementary School's population is made up of English Learners. On the 2022 CASSPP ELA assessment, $17 \%$ of EL students scored proficient. ! $8 \%$ of English Learners were identified as at or above grade level according to the FAST ELA assessment.
*Goal 4 - English Learners

| By Date | Grade | Student Group | Objective | Baseline <br> Percentage | Target <br> Percentage | Measure of <br> Success | Frequency |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| June 2023 | K-5 | English Learner | reclassification | $3 \%$ | $5 \%$ | Reclassification <br> Rates | annually |

## *Identified Need - Graduation/Promotion Rate

According to data in Illuminate, during the 21-22 school year, $39 \%$ of third grade students met or exceeded grade level standards and $42 \%$ of fifth graders met or exceeded grade level standards.
According to the FAST Reading assessment in the Spring of 2022, 59\% of current 3rd graders met or exceeded grade-level standards and $32 \%$ of current 5th graders met or exceeded grade level standards.
The CA dashboard indicates that 3\% of students were suspended in the 21-22 school year.

## *Goal 5- Graduation/Promotion Rate

| By Date | Grade | Objective |  | Baseline Percentage Target Percentage |  | Measure of Success | Frequency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 2023 |  | Increase stu achievement | nt 39\% |  | 49\% | Grade 3 ELA <br> Reading | $3 x$ per year |
| June 2023 | 5 | Increase stu achievement | nt $32 \%$ |  | 42\% | Grade 5 ELA Reading | 3 x per year |
| June 2023 | TK-5 | Decrease su | pensions 3\% |  | 0 | Suspensions | annually |
| *Annual Measurable Outcomes (Closing the Equity Gap) - Graduation/Promotion Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| By Date | Grade | Student Group | Objective | Baseline <br> Percentage |  Target <br> Percentage  | Measure of Success | Frequency |
| June 2023 | 3-5 | Students with Disabilities | Increase student achievement (FAST) | 33\% | 43\% | FAST aReading | 3x a year |
| June 2023 | 3-5 | English Learner | Increase student achievement (FAST) | 20\% | 30\% | FAST aReading | 3x a year |
| June 2023 | 3-5 | Black or African American | Increase student achievement(FAST) | 68\% | 78\% | Grade 3 ELA <br> Reading | 3x a year |
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| June 2023 | TK-5 | Socioeconomically <br> Disadvantaged | Decrease suspension <br> rate | 0 | 0 | Suspensions | annually |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| June 2023 | TK-5 | Hispanic or Latino | Decrease suspension <br> rate | 0 | 0 | annually |  |
| June 2023 | TK-5 | White | Decrease suspension <br> rate | 0 | 0 | Suspensions | annually |
| June 2023 | TK-5 | Two or More Races | Decrease suspension <br> rate | 0 | 0 | Suspensions | annually |
| June 2023 | TK-5 | Black or African <br> American | Decrease suspension <br> rate | $3 \%$ | Suspensions | annually |  |
| Insens |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intervention Supports

## *Students to be served by this Strategy/Activity

All students at the school will benefit from this strategy/activity in the area of English Language Arts, specifically the subgroups including English Learners and Students with disabilities.

## *Strategy/Activity - Description

Intervention teacher will provide small group instruction to students who are not making progress. The Intervention teacher will push in to classrooms in grades K-5 during guided reading to pull students for Tier 2 reading support. In addition, the teacher will work collaborate with our Ed Specialist to provide RTI to students identified as high risk on the FAST reading assessment. Intervention teacher, SPED support staff and counselor and will continue to support teachers in implementing curriculum that integrates ELD supports for English Learners and supports students social/emotional needs inside and outside of the classroom.
Intervention teacher will support and collaborate with classroom teachers to impact teacher effectiveness. Intervention teacher will help classroom teachers in planning and implementing differentiated instruction and supports for struggling students (Tier I instruction). Intervention teacher will provide small group instruction to students who are not making progress, including English Learners.
Intervention teacher, SPED support staff, Ed Specialist(s), and counselor will continue to support and collaborate with classroom teachers to impact teacher effectiveness. Support staff (including SPED team) will help classroom teachers in planning and implementing differentiated instruction and supports for struggling students (tier 1 instruction). Ed Specialist(s) and counselor will regularly attend PLC.
Software licenses to support learning: Accelerated Reader, Raz-Kids
Students will participate in various field trips including the Zoo, Performing Arts Center and Kroc Center to enhance the Tier 1 instruction, increase motivation and bring our Tier 1 learning to life in the community.
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*Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

| ID | Proposed Expenditures | FTE | Estimated Salary/Non Salary Cost | Total Estimated Salary With Benefits/Non Salary cost | Funding <br> Source <br> Budget Code | Funding Source | LCFF Student Group | Reference | Rationale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N00652P | Interprogram Svcs/Paper |  | \$5,000.00 | \$5,000.00 | $\begin{gathered} 0065-09800-00- \\ 5733-1000-1110- \\ 01000-0000 \end{gathered}$ | LCFF <br> Intervention Support | English Learners, Foster Youth, Low- Income |  | Paper to support Tier 1 classroom instruction. Such as worksheets, student books, and other teacher created materials. |
| N006572 | Interprogram Svcs/Field Trip |  | \$3,000.00 | \$3,000.00 | $\begin{array}{\|c} 0065-09800-00- \\ 5735-1000-1110- \\ 01000-0000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LCFF } \\ \text { Intervention } \\ \text { Support } \end{gathered}$ | English <br> Learners, Foster <br> Youth, Low- <br> Income |  | Field trips that support grade-level standards. Such trips include visits to the Zoo, Poway performing arts center and Kroc Center |

## PLCs

## *Students to be served by this Strategy/Activity

All students

## *Strategy/Activity - Description

PLC's will occur at a minimum of 1x per month. While teachers engage in collaborative PLC, students will participate in the VAPA program provided by SDUSD VAPA teachers.
During PLC's teachers work collaboratively with grade-level teams, Intervention Teacher, School Counselor, Ed Specialist and Principal to analyze student data, and create learning plans based on student progress.
Teachers will continue to collaborate with support staff in PLC. Target students will be identified and interventions will be put into place to best support their individual learning needs. Intervention teacher will support students in grades 1-5 in reading.

| *Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID | Proposed <br> Expenditures | FTE | Estimated <br> Salary/Non <br> Salary Cost | Total Estimated <br> Salary With <br> Benefits/Non Salary <br> cost | Funding <br> Source <br> Budget Code | Funding <br> Source | LCFF <br> Student <br> Group | Reference | Rationale |
| N00651T | Interprogram <br> Svcs/VAPA |  | $\$ 16,000.00$ | $\$ 16,000.00$ | $0065-30106-00-$ <br> $5738-1000-1110-$ <br> $01000-1313$ | Title I <br> Supplmnt Prog <br> Imprymnt | [no data] |  | PLC: teachers use PLC time to <br> analyze student data and plan for <br> instruction based on assessment <br> data. |
| N00654F | Conference Local |  | $\$ 3,000.00$ | $\$ 3,000.00$ | $0065-09800-00-$ <br> $5209-1000-110-$ <br> $01000-0000$ | LCFF <br> Intervention <br> Support | English <br> Learners, Foster <br> Youth, Low- <br> Income |  | Teacher professional <br> development in the area of <br> effective co teaching strategies. |
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| N00657J | $\begin{gathered} \text { Non Clsrm Tchr } \\ \text { Hrly } \end{gathered}$ | \$1,304.00 | \$1,614.48 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0065-30100-00- \\ 1957-2140-0000- \\ 01000-0000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Title I Basic Program | [no data] | Teacher release time for curriculum alignment and vertical planning. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N00658N | Prof\&Curriclm Dev Vist Tchr | \$5,000.00 | \$6,190.50 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0065-09800-00- \\ 1192-1000-1110- \\ 01000-0000 \end{array}$ | LCFF Intervention Support | English Learners, Foster Youth, LowIncome | Teacher professional development to analyze data, evaluate student work and plan next instruction steps based on data. |
| N00659V | Prof\&Curriclm Dev Vist Tchr | \$4,174.00 | \$5,167.82 | $\begin{gathered} 0065-30100-00- \\ 1192-1000-1110- \\ 01000-0000 \end{gathered}$ | Title I Basic Program | [no data] | Visiting teachers to cover for classroom teachers who are attending PD |

## Accelerated Reader/RAZ-KIDS

## *Students to be served by this Strategy/Activity

All students at the school will benefit from this strategy/activity in the area of Literacy.

## *Strategy/Activity - Description

Software licenses to support reading skills, comprehension, and engage students in reading.

| *Propos | Expen | s for | S | ity |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID | Proposed Expenditures | FTE | Estimated Salary/Non Salary Cost | Total Estimated Salary With Benefits/Non Salary cost | Funding <br> Source <br> Budget Code | Funding Source | LCFF Student Group | Reference | Rationale |
| N00657L | Software License |  | \$7,500.00 | \$7,500.00 | $0065-09800-00-$ $5841-1000-$ $1110-01000-$ 0000 | $\begin{gathered} \text { LCFF } \\ \text { Intervention } \\ \text { Support } \end{gathered}$ | English Learners, Foster Youth, Low-Income |  | To support students in Literacy through use of programs such as Raz-Kids. |
| N0065AU | Software License |  | \$8,000.00 | \$8,000.00 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 0065-30106-00- } \\ 5841-1000- \\ 1110-01000- \\ 0000 \end{gathered}$ | Title I Supplmnt Prog Imprvmnt | [no data] |  | Accelerated Reader to support reading growth, teach student reading progress, create school-wide, classroom and individual goals and cultivate a culture of reading at our school. |

## RTI- ELLs by RT

*Students to be served by this Strategy/Activity
All students at the school will benefit from this strategy/activity, specifically English Learner students.
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## *Strategy/Activity - Description

Ed Specialist(s), SPED support staff, counselor and teachers will continue to collaborate during PLC, in order to help accomplish the following: increase student achievement, analyze meaningful and ongoing formative assessments, monitor student progress, and support students' social/emotional needs.
Intervention teacher will provide small group support to EL students who are not making progress on language and/or grade level standards.

| *Propos | d Expenditur | es for | Strategy/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID | Proposed Expenditures | FTE | Estimated Salary/Non Salary Cost | Total Estimated Salary With Benefits/Non Salary cost | Funding <br> Source <br> Budget Code | Funding Source | LCFF <br> Student <br> Group | Reference | Rationale |
| F00651I | Inschool Resource Tchr | 0.59750 | \$59,102.31 | \$92,926.01 | $\begin{gathered} 0065-30100-00- \\ 1109-1000- \\ 1110-01000- \\ 0000 \end{gathered}$ | Title I Basic Program | [no data] |  | Reading Intervention in grades 1-5. Teacher will push into classrooms during guided reading to pull a small group of students who are not performing at grade-level. |
| N006557 | Classroom Teacher Hrly |  | \$4,847.00 | \$6,001.08 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 0065-30106-00- } \\ 1157-1000- \\ 1110-01000- \\ 0000 \end{gathered}$ | Title I Supplmnt Prog Imprvmnt | [no data] |  | Before/after school tutoring for students not performing at grade-level in reading and math. |
| N006566 | Supplies |  | \$13,838.00 | \$13,838.00 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 0065-30106-00- } \\ 4301-1000- \\ 1110-01000- \\ 0000 \end{gathered}$ | Title I <br> Supplmnt Prog Imprvmnt | [no data] |  | Supplies to support classroom instruction as well as intervention groups: journals, whiteboards, chart paper, markers, sentence frames, etc. |
| N00656B | PullOut/Push in Hrly |  | \$5,428.00 | \$6,720.41 | $\begin{gathered} 0065-30100-00- \\ 1159-1000- \\ 1110-01000- \\ 0000 \end{gathered}$ | Title I Basic Program | [no data] |  | To support students who are not performing at grade-level. This budget is allocated for the above avg. salary of the RT. |
| N00656X | Retired NonClsrm Tchr Hrly |  | \$7,667.00 | \$9,492.52 | $\begin{gathered} 0065-09800-00- \\ 1986-3160- \\ 4760-01000- \\ 0000 \end{gathered}$ | LCFF Intervention Support | English Learners |  | Retired VT to come in and conduct ELPAC testing for ELLs. |
| N00659S | Interprogram Svcs/Paper |  | \$3,000.00 | \$3,000.00 | $0065-30100-00-$ $5733-1000-$ $1110-01000-$ 0000 | Title I Basic Program | [no data] |  | Paper to support Tier 1 classroom instruction. Such as journals, worksheets, teacher created games, goal setting, etc. |
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## RTI-SWD

## *Students to be served by this Strategy/Activity

All students at the school will benefit from this strategy/activity, specifically students with disabilities.

## *Strategy/Activity - Description

Counselor, Ed Specialist and School Psych will plan and facilitate professional development for teachers. Additional training will be provided to para educators who directly support students with disabilities. Ed Specialist(s) will co teach to best support students who have IEP's as well as students who need tier 2 support in reading and math.
Intervention will support SPED students (in addition to Ed Specialist's).
Ed Specialist and support providers will attend PLC's on a consistent basis.

## *Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

| ID | Proposed Expenditures | FTE | Estimated Salary/Non Salary Cost | Total Estimated Salary With Benefits/Non Salary cost | Funding <br> Source <br> Budget Code | Funding Source | LCFF <br> Student <br> Group | Reference | Rationale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F00651J | Inschool Resource Tchr | 0.00250 | \$247.29 | \$388.82 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0065-30106- \\ 00-1109-1000- \\ 1110-01000- \\ 0000 \end{array}$ | Title I Supplmnt Prog Imprvmnt | [no data] |  | Reading Intervention teacher will work with the Ed Specialist to pull students for RTI. Students will be pulled out with SPED students and will receive small group instruction from both the Intervention Teacher and Ed Specialist. |
| N0065A3 | Classroom PARAS Hrly |  | \$1,000.00 | \$1,370.10 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0065-09800- \\ 00-2151-1000- \\ 1110-01000- \\ 0000 \end{array}$ | LCFF Intervention Support | English Learners, Foster Youth, Low-Income |  | Time to collaborate with ed specialist and/or engage in PD. |

## Leader In Me

## *Students to be served by this Strategy/Activity

All students at the school will benefit from this strategy/activity, specifically Black or African-American students.

## *Strategy/Activity - Description

The Leader in Me program supports student engagement with school. This program will continue to be implemented, school-wide. Students will have leadership opportunities, will set personal and academic goals and will have opportunities to participate in enrichment activities outside of the regular classroom. Our Attendance Clerk, Nurse, School Counselor and Principal will meet monthly to review attendance data and create plans to support families.
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Intervention teacher will provide small group instruction to students who are not making progress in ELA. Intervention teacher will support and collaborate with classroom teachers to impact teacher effectiveness. Intervention teacher will help classroom teachers in planning and implementing differentiated instruction and supports for struggling students (Tier I instruction).

## *Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

| ID | Proposed <br> Expenditures | FTE | Estimated <br> Salary/Non <br> Salary Cost | Total Estimated Salary <br> With Benefits/Non <br> Salary cost | Funding <br> Source Budget <br> Code | Funding <br> Source | LCFF <br> Student <br> Group | Reference | Rationale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N 0065 H | Supplies |  | $\$ 3,210.00$ | $\$ 3,210.00$ | $0065-30100-00-$ <br> $4301-1000-1110-1$ <br> $01000-0000$ | Title I Basic <br> Program | $[$ no data] |  | Classroom supplies to support <br> instruction such journals, <br> binders for goal setting, pencils. |

## School Counselor

## *Students to be served by this Strategy/Activity

All students will benefit from this strategy/activity in the area of decreasing suspensions.

## *Strategy/Activity - Description

Data from the CA dashboard indicates that $6.8 \%$ of white students were suspended at least one time in the 18-19 school year. In an effort to decrease suspension rates:
Staff will continue to receive professional development around Restorative Practices.
School-wide implementation of the Leader in Me will continue to be strengthened and the 7 habits will be explicitly taught in every classroom.
Our school counselor will provide small group intervention to students needing social-emotional support, will teach weekly lessons in all 3-5 grade classrooms, and will support with tier 1 and tier 1 discipline matters.
The SDUSD Early Prevention Program team will support students in grades TK-2 with weekly lessons, will provide parents trainings for all families and will work 1-1 with students needing extra support.

| *Propo | ed Expenditure | es for | is Strategy/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID | Proposed Expenditures | FTE | Estimated Salary/Non Salary Cost | Total Estimated Salary With Benefits/Non Salary cost | Funding Source Budget Code | Funding Source | LCFF <br> Student <br> Group | Reference | Rationale |
| N006539 | Supplies |  | \$4,788.00 | \$4,788.00 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 0065-09800-00- \\ 4301-1000-1110- \\ 01000-0000 \end{array}$ | LCFF Intervention Support |  |  | Instructional supplies such as journals for students to write reflections and individualized behavior plans. |
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## LCAP 5: Family and Community Engagement with Highly Regarded Neighborhood Schools

## Call to Action Belief Statement

Partnering With Parents in the Education of Their Children: We believe families contribute to improving student learning outcomes when engagement is integrated into district/school goals and initiatives as well as trusting relationships grounded in home-school activities.

## District LCAP Goals

5. Family and Community Engagement with Highly Regarded Neighborhood Schools that Serve Students, Families, and Communities

## Annual Review of This Goal: SPSA Reviewed 2021-22

*Analysis
Describe the overall implementation of the 2020-21 strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the 2020-21 articulated goal(s).

Our goal for the 2021-22 school year was to increase parent participation and engagement with the classroom and school wide community.
Due to COVID restrictions our school was limited in the amount of volunteers we were able to accommodate on campus and events were largely held on zoom which resulted in low attendance. In the Spring, when we had more opportunities for family engagement, we had difficulty getting large numbers of family members to attend events or engagement opportunities.

## *Major Differences

Briefly describe any major differences between the 2021-22 intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal(s).

We had limited opportunities for family engagement due to COVID restrictions. When opportunities did arise, we found there were a limited amount of families who would attend.

## *Changes

Describe any changes that will be made to the 2022-23 goal(s), the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal(s) as a result of this analysis.

Based on data from the MRI (school climate survey from Leader in Me) our school has developed the following goals:

1. Students caregivers are satisfied with the way the school includes them in their child's learning through inclusive opportunities, communication, and support for learning at home.
2. Students believe that they are cared about and understood by the people in their school and feel a sense of belonging.
3. Students have one or more teachers who provide them with the encouragement and support they need to lead their learning.
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## *Identified Need

According to data on from Hoonuit, $54 \%$ of students at Clay were chronically absent in the 21-22 school year. This was a $30 \%$ increase from the previous year. Families who are engaged with the school community are more likely to attend school.
According to the MRA $69 \%$ of parents/guardians are satisfied with the way the school includes them in their child's learning through inclusive opportunities, communication, and support for learning at home.

## *Goal 6- Family Engagement

| By Date | Participants | Objective | Baseline Percentage | Target Percentage | Measure of Success |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 2023 | Other (Describe in Objective) | Increase parent engagement in school decision making | 51\% | 65\% | Other - Describe in objective |
| *Annual Measurable Outcomes |  |  |  |  |  |
| By Date | Participants | Objective | Baseline Percentage | Target Percentage | Measure of Success |
| June 2023 | Other (Describe in Objective) | Increase parent participation measured by parent attendance. | 30\% | 40\% | Other - Describe in Objective |

## Family Engagement Opportunities

*Families to be served by this Strategy/Activity
All families and students at the school will benefit from this strategy/activity.

## *Strategy/Activity - Description

The school will offer teacher led parent learning opportunities throughout the year on a monthly basis to support their child's education and their connection to school. Allocate funds for in-service supplies for parent meetings and workshops.

| ID | Proposed Expenditures | FTE | Estimated Salary/Non Salary Cost | Total Estimated Salary With Benefits/Non Salary cost | Funding Source Budget Code | Funding Source | LCFF <br> Student Group | Reference | Rationale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N00657T | Postage Expense |  | \$800.00 | \$800.00 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 0065-30103-00- } \\ 5920-2495-0000- \\ 01000-0000 \end{gathered}$ | Title I Parent Involvement | [no data] |  | Parent communication to send items such as postcards, information for PowerSchool and other informative items. |
| N0065AP | In-service supplies |  | \$1,295.00 | \$1,295.00 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0065-30103-00- \\ 4304-2495-0000- \\ 01000-0000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Title I Parent Involvement | [no data] |  | Light refreshments such as cookies and coffee to offer parents during parent meetings. |

## APPENDICES

This section contains the following appendices that will assist the School Site Council in completing the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) and in maintaining a cycle of continuous improvement:

## APPENDIX A

## BUDGET SUMMARY

## Clay Elementary Budget Summary

## DESCRIPTION

Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application $(30100,30103)$

Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI (31820)

Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA (09800, 30100, 30103, 30106, 31820)

## AMOUNT

\$ 114,734
\$ 0
\$ 199,303

## Other Federal, State, and Local Funds

List the additional Federal programs that the school is including in the school wide program. Adjust the table as needed. If the school is not operating a Title I school wide program this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

| Federal Programs | Allocation (\$) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Title I Supplemental (30106) | $\$ 44,228$ |
| [List federal program here] | $\$[$ Enter amount here] |
| [List federal program here] | $\$[$ Enter amount here] |

Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school (30106): \$44,228
List the State and local programs that the school is including in the school wide program. Duplicate the table as needed.

| State or Local Programs | Allocation (\$) |
| :--- | :--- |
| LCFF Intervention (09800) | $\$ 40,341$ |
| [List state or local program here] | $\$$ [Enter amount here] |
| [List state or local program here] | $\$$ [Enter amount here] |

Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school (09800): \$40,341
Total of federal, state, and/or local funds for this school (09800, 30100, 30103, 30106, 31820): \$ 199,303

| School | Resource Description | Job Code Title | Account Description2 | Account Description | FTE | Budgeted Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clay Elementary | 09800 LCFF Intervention Support | (blank) | 1192 Prof\&Curriclm Dev Vist Tchr | Prof\&Curriclm Dev Vist Tchr | 0 | \$5,000.00 |
| Clay Elementary |  |  | 1986 Retired NonClsrm Tchr Hrly | Retired NonClsrm Tchr Hrly | 0 | \$7,667.00 |
| Clay Elementary |  |  | 2151 Classroom PARAS Hrly | Classroom PARAS Hriy | 0 | \$1,000.00 |
| Clay Elementary |  |  | 3000 Benefits |  | 0 | \$3,386.12 |
| Clay Elementary |  |  | 4301 Supplies | Supplies | 0 | \$4,788.00 |
| Clay Elementary |  |  | 5209 Conference Local | Conference Local | 0 | \$3,000.00 |
| Clay Elementary |  |  | 5733 Interprogram Svcs/Paper | Interprogram Svcs/Paper | 0 | \$5,000.00 |
| Clay Elementary |  |  | 5735 Interprogram Svcs/Field Trip | Interprogram Svcs/Field Trip | 0 | \$3,000.00 |
| Clay Elementary |  |  | 5841 Software License | Software License | 0 | \$7,500.00 |
| Clay Elementary |  | (blank) Total |  |  | 0 | \$40,341.12 |
| Clay Elementary | 09800 LCFF Intervention Support Total |  |  |  | 0 | \$40,341.12 |
| Clay Elementary | 30100 Title I Basic Program | Inschool Resource Tchr | 1109 Pull/Out Push In | Pull/Out Push In | 0.5975 | \$59,102.31 |
| Clay Elementary |  |  | 3000 Benefits |  | 0 | \$33,823.70 |
| Clay Elementary |  | Inschool Resource Tchr Total |  |  | 0.5975 | \$92,926.01 |
| Clay Elementary |  | (blank) | 1159 PullOut/Push in Hrly | PullOut/Push in Hriy | 0 | \$5,428.00 |
| Clay Elementary |  |  | 1192 Prof\&Curriclm Dev Vist Tchr | Prof\&Curriclm Dev Vist Tchr | 0 | \$4,174.00 |
| Clay Elementary |  |  | 1957 Non Clsrm Tchr Hrly | Non Clsrm Tchr Hrly | 0 | \$1,304.00 |
| Clay Elementary |  |  | 3000 Benefits |  | 0 | \$2,596.71 |
| Clay Elementary |  |  | 4301 Supplies | Supplies | 0 | \$3,210.00 |
| Clay Elementary |  |  | 5733 Interprogram Svcs/Paper | Interprogram Svcs/Paper | 0 | \$3,000.00 |
| Clay Elementary |  | (blank) Total |  |  | 0 | \$19,712.71 |
| Clay Elementary | 30100 Title I Basic Program Total |  |  |  | 0.5975 | \$112,638.72 |
| Clay Elementary | 30103 Title I Parent Involvement | (blank) | 4304 Inservice supplies | Inservice supplies | 0 | \$1,295.00 |
| Clay Elementary |  |  | 5920 Postage Expense | Postage Expense | 0 | \$800.00 |
| Clay Elementary |  | (blank) Total |  |  | 0 | \$2,095.00 |
| Clay Elementary | 30103 Title I Parent Involvement Total |  |  |  | 0 | \$2,095.00 |
| Clay Elementary | 30106 Title I Supplmnt Prog Imprvmnt | Inschool Resource Tchr | 1109 Pull/Out Push In | Pull/Out Push In | 0.0025 | \$247.29 |
| Clay Elementary |  |  | 3000 Benefits |  | 0 | \$141.53 |
| Clay Elementary |  | Inschool Resource Tchr Total |  |  | 0.0025 | \$388.82 |
| Clay Elementary |  | (blank) | 1157 Classroom Teacher Hrly | Classroom Teacher Hrly | 0 | \$4,847.00 |
| Clay Elementary |  |  | 3000 Benefits |  | 0 | \$1,154.08 |
| Clay Elementary |  |  | 4301 Supplies | Supplies | 0 | \$13,838.00 |
| Clay Elementary |  |  | 5738 Interprogram Svcs/VAPA | Interprogram Svcs/VAPA | 0 | \$16,000.00 |
| Clay Elementary |  |  | 5841 Software License | Software License | 0 | \$8,000.00 |
| Clay Elementary |  | (blank) Total |  |  | 0 | \$43,839.08 |
| Clay Elementary | 30106 Title I Supplmnt Prog Imprvmnt Total |  |  |  | 0.0025 | \$44,227.90 |

## APPENDIX B

## Parent \& Family Engagement Policy
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## Clay Elementary School

## Title I Parent \& Family Engagement Policy 2022-2023

Clay Elementary has jointly developed with, and distributed to, parents and family members of participating children a written parent and family engagement policy, agreed upon by such parents, and updated periodically to meet the changing needs of parents and the school. (EC Section 11503; 20 United States Code [U.S.C.] Section [§] 6318[b][1-4]) Clay's School Site Council revises our Title I Parent and family engagement policy every year. We review the document, discuss revisions and vote on the final product. It is distributed to all parents within the first two months of school. We distribute the policy once a year after revisions are made and voted on.

### 2.1 Involvement of Parents in the Title I Program

The school-level parent and family engagement policy shall describe the means for how Clay Elementary shall carry out the following requirements: (20 U.S.C. § 6318[b][1])
a) The school convenes an annual meeting, at a convenient time, to which all parents of participating children shall be invited to attend and encouraged to attend, to inform parents and family members of their school's participation in the Title I program and to explain the requirements, and the right of the parents to be involved. (20 U.S.C. § $6318[\mathrm{C}][1]$ ) In the fall a Title I meeting for Clay families will be scheduled. We will share the Title I Parent Involvement Policy, school programs, Title I resources, etc.
b) The school offers a flexible number of meetings, such as meetings in the morning or evening, and may provide, with Title I funds, transportation, child care, or home visits, as such services relate to parental involvement. (20 U.S.C. § 6318[c][2])

We have many opportunities for parents to visit Clay including, monthly Family Fridays, parent conferences, Back To School Night and Open House, Winter Holiday program, PTA sponsored events, Field Day, Family Barbecues, School Site Council, Governance Team and ELAC meetings, parent workshops, Coffee with the Principal, etc.
c) The school involves parents in an organized, ongoing, and timely way, in the planning, review, and improvement of the school's Title I program, including the planning, review, and improvement of the school parent and family engagement policy and the joint development of the schoolwide program plan. (20 U.S.C. § $6318[\mathrm{c}][3])$ We communicate with parents through the monthly newsletter, parent meetings and/or trainings, Family Fridays, class dojo, school-wide events, School Messenger, SSC, school marquee, Equity committee meetings, etc.
d) The school provides parents of participating children with the following:
i. Timely information about the Title I program. (20 U.S.C. § 6318[c][4][A])

We provide parents with information through monthly newsletters, Family Fridays, School Messenger, Parent Conferences twice a year, Goal Setting Meetings for English Learners, etc.
ii. A description and explanation of the curriculum in use at the school, the forms of academic assessment used to measure student progress, and the achievement levels of the challenging state academic standards. (20 U.S.C. § 6318[c][4][B])

Clay Elementary has district adopted curriculum including Benchmark (ELA) and Envision (Math). In addition, we have several software programs to support students in reading and math including, ST Math, Accelerated Reader and Raz kids. Students are given formative and summative assessments. Some of these assessments include, FAST, DEMI, STAR and the state CAASSP test in grades 3-5.
iii. If requested by parents, opportunities for regular meetings to formulate suggestions and to participate, as appropriate, in decisions relating to the education of their children, and respond to any such suggestions as soon as practicably possible. (20 U.S.C. § $6318[\mathrm{c}][4][\mathrm{C}]$ ) Families at Clay are invited to attend Student led conferences (formally, parent conferences) twice a year, SSC, IEPs, parent meetings, etc. Anytime a parent would like to meet with their child's teacher they are encouraged to email them or send a dojo message to set up a time to meet.
e) If the schoolwide program (SWP) plan is not satisfactory to the parents of participating children, the school submits any parent comments on the plan when the school makes the plan available to the local educational agency (LEA). (20 U.S.C. § $6318[\mathrm{c}][5])$ SSC meetings are open to the school community. All families are encouraged to attend an provide feedback.

### 2.2 Building Capacity for Involvement

To ensure effective involvement of parents and to support a partnership among the school involved, parents, and the community to improve student academic achievement, each school and local educational agency assisted under Title I, Part A shall carry out the following requirements: (20 U.S.C. § 6318[e])
a) The school provides assistance to parents of children served by the school or LEA, as appropriate, in understanding such topics as the challenging state academic standards, state and local academic assessments, the requirements of Title I, Part A, and how to monitor a child's progress and work with educators to improve the achievement of their children. (20 U.S.C. § 6318[e][1]) Regular parent meetings (coffee with the principal, SSC and Family Friday) are held to educate parents on such programs and topics.
b) The school provides materials and training to help parents work with their children to improve their children's achievement, as appropriate, to foster parental involvement. ( 20 U.S.C. § 6318[e][2]) In the fall, the school will conduct a survey to gather information about what programs and topics are of interest to families. Based on parent feedback the school will plan opportunities for learning.
c) The school educates teachers, specialized instructional support personnel, principals, and other school leaders, and other staff, with the assistance of parents, in the value and utility of contributions of parents, and in how to reach out to, communicate with, and work with parents as equal partners, implement and coordinate parent programs, and build ties between parents and the school. (20 U.S.C. § 6318[e][3]) Teachers and support staff communicate with families through a variety of modalities including email, dojo, zoon, phone calls, and in person meetings.
d) The school, to the extent feasible and appropriate, coordinates and integrates parent involvement programs and activities with other federal, state, and local programs, including public preschool programs, and conducts other activities, such as parent resource centers, that encourage and support parents in more fully participating in the education of their children. (20 U.S.C. § 6318[e][4]) Our school holds parent events on a regular basis. A year-long event calendar was sent home with students at the beginning of the school year. Our full time counselor and school clerk provide information to families regarding district offered programs and supports.
e) The school ensures that information related to school and parent programs, meetings, and other activities is sent to parents of participating children in a format and, to the extent practicable, in a language the parents can understand. (20 U.S.C. § 6318[e][5]) Wherever possible materials are sent home in multiple languages. In addition, Clay has translation devices to support verbal communication with parents/families.
f) The school provides such other reasonable support for parental involvement activities under this section as parents may request. (20 U.S.C. § 6318[e][14]) Clay encourages parent involvement in all capacities. Parents should reach out to their child's teacher if they are interested in volunteering. In addition to volunteering in the classroom there are opportunities to volunteer at events such as Fall Festival and during clubs, such as running club.

### 2.3 Accessibility

In carrying out the parent and family engagement requirements of Title I, Part A, Clay Elementary, to the extent practicable, shall provide opportunities for the informed participation of parents and family members (including parents and family members who have limited English proficiency, parents and family members with disabilities, and parents and family members of migratory children), including providing information and school reports required under section 1111 of the ESEA (20 U.S.C. § 6311), as amended by ESSA, in a format and, to the extent practicable, in a language such parents understand. (20 U.S.C. § 6318[f])

Translation services and or translation devices are available at all parent events and meetings. Accommodations will be made for any parent/family who requires the support.

## APPENDIX C

## School Parent Compact

# I School-Parent Compact 2022-2023 

Clay Elementary

### 2.4 School-Parent Compact

As a component of the school-level parent and family engagement policy, each school served under this part shall jointly develop with parents for all children served under this part a school-parent compact that outlines how parents, the entire school staff, and students will share the responsibility for improved student academic achievement and the means by which the school and parents will build and develop a partnership to help children achieve the state's high standards. The school-parent compact shall carry out the following requirements: (20 U.S.C. § 6318[d])
a) Describe the school's responsibility to provide high-quality curriculum and instruction in a supportive and effective learning environment that enables the children served under Title I, Part A to meet the challenging state academic standards, as well as the ways in which each parent will be responsible for supporting their children's learning; volunteering in their child's classroom; and participating, as appropriate, in decisions relating to the education of their children and positive use of extracurricular time. (20 U.S.C. § 6318[d][1]) Clay Elementary teachers use district adopted curriculum as well as site based curriculum to support students in their learning. Parents are encouraged to be involved in their child's learning through regular communication with the teacher, volunteering and assisting their child with homework. Clay Elementary offers a variety of extended learning opportunities throughout the school year.
b) Address the importance of communication between teachers and parents on an ongoing basis through, at a minimum, the following: (20 U.S.C. § 6318[d][2])

1. Parent-teacher conferences in elementary schools, at least annually, during which the compact shall be discussed as the compact relates to the individual child's achievement. (20 U.S.C. § 6318[d][2][A]) Student led conferences (formally parent conferences) are held twice a year. Once in the Fall and once in the Spring.
2. Frequent reports to parents on their children's progress. (20 U.S.C. § $6318[d][2][B]$ ) In addition to student led conferences, report cards are shared with parents each trimester. Parents are encouraged to reach out to their child's teacher with any questions or concerns.
3. Reasonable access to staff, opportunities to volunteer and participate in their child's class, and observation of classroom activities. (20 U.S.C. § 6318[d][2][C]) Parents are welcome and encouraged to volunteer at school. Parents can contact their child's teacher to learn more about when they can volunteer in class. In addition, there are many opportunities available through PTA and school events (Fall Festival, running club, book fair, Family Friday, Lunch on the Lawn ect).
4. Ensuring regular two-way, meaningful communication between family members and school staff, and, to the extent practicable, in a language that family members can understand. (20 U.S.C. § 6318[d][2][D]) Whenever feasible communication to families is sent home in multiple languages. The school has translation devices available to aide in communicating with families.

## APPENDIX D

## DATA REPORTS

Data Reports: Attached Data comes
from https://itd.sandiegounified.org/it resources/research and evaluation/my school :ELA/Math Multi-year Demographic Summary. Additional data for schools can be found in:

- Illuminate
- California Dashboard
* Enrollment, participation date, ethnicity demographics, and language demographics will impact the results of data. Data is organized and reported differently amongst the data sources above.


## 2015-2019 California Smarter Balanced Summative Test Results

## Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard by Grade Level

## Clay

All Grades Combined

| Student Group | English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Chg From |  | Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Chg From |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  | 2017 |  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2015 | 2018 | 2015 |  | 2016 |  | 2017 |  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2015 | 2018 |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | \% | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | \% | \% |
| Total | 137 | 40.9 | 134 | 49.3 | 138 | 43.5 | 146 | 47.9 | 133 | 45.1 | 4.2 | -2.8 | 137 | 32.8 | 136 | 39.7 | 140 | 37.9 | 148 | 41.9 | 134 | 40.3 | 7.5 | -1.6 |
| Female | 67 | 52.2 | 67 | 59.7 | 53 | 52.8 | 67 | 43.3 | 66 | 43.9 | -8.3 | 0.6 | 67 | 32.8 | 68 | 38.2 | 54 | 33.3 | 68 | 30.9 | 66 | 36.4 | 3.6 | 5.5 |
| Male | 70 | 30.0 | 67 | 38.8 | 85 | 37.6 | 79 | 51.9 | 67 | 46.3 | 16.3 | -5.6 | 70 | 32.9 | 68 | 41.2 | 86 | 40.7 | 80 | 51.2 | 68 | 44.1 | 11.2 | -7.1 |
| African American | 37 | 32.4 | 40 | 42.5 | 38 | 28.9 | 44 | 27.3 | 36 | 33.3 | 0.9 | 6.0 | 37 | 27.0 | 42 | 31.0 | 39 | 30.8 | 44 | 29.5 | 37 | 29.7 | 2.7 | 0.2 |
| Asian** | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 11 | 54.5 | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 11 | 45.5 | - | - |
| Filipino | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | - |
| Hispanic | 50 | 34.0 | 50 | 46.0 | 51 | 49.0 | 49 | 49.0 | 51 | 49.0 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 50 | 26.0 | 50 | 36.0 | 51 | 39.2 | 49 | 38.8 | 51 | 43.1 | 17.1 | 4.3 |
| Indochinese** | 7 | - | 3 | - | 13 | 53.8 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | - | 3 | - | 13 | 69.2 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Native American | 0 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Pacific Islander | 2 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| White | 21 | 52.4 | 17 | 47.1 | 14 | 35.7 | 18 | 72.2 | 14 | 50.0 | -2.4 | -22.2 | 21 | 52.4 | 17 | 47.1 | 14 | 28.6 | 19 | 47.4 | 14 | 35.7 | -16.7 | -11.7 |
| Multiracial | 19 | 68.4 | 22 | 68.2 | 19 | 52.6 | 22 | 50.0 | 16 | 37.5 | -30.9 | -12.5 | 19 | 36.8 | 22 | 54.5 | 20 | 30.0 | 23 | 47.8 | 16 | 43.8 | 7.0 | -4.0 |
| English Learner | 19 | 0.0 | 20 | 20.0 | 22 | 22.7 | 21 | 33.3 | 17 | 11.8 | 11.8 | -21.5 | 19 | 15.8 | 22 | 31.8 | 24 | 33.3 | 24 | 25.0 | 18 | 16.7 | 0.9 | -8.3 |
| English-Speaking | 118 | 47.5 | 114 | 54.4 | 116 | 47.4 | 125 | 50.4 | 116 | 50.0 | 2.5 | -0.4 | 118 | 35.6 | 114 | 41.2 | 116 | 38.8 | 124 | 45.2 | 116 | 44.0 | 8.4 | -1.2 |
| Reclassified $\dagger$ | 14 | 64.3 | 10 | 90.0 | 21 | 66.7 | 25 | 76.0 | 22 | 63.6 | -0.7 | -12.4 | 14 | 50.0 | 10 | 60.0 | 21 | 57.1 | 25 | 60.0 | 22 | 54.5 | 4.5 | -5.5 |
| Initially Eng. Speaking | 104 | 45.2 | 104 | 51.0 | 95 | 43.2 | 100 | 44.0 | 94 | 46.8 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 104 | 33.7 | 104 | 39.4 | 95 | 34.7 | 99 | 41.4 | 94 | 41.5 | 7.8 | 0.1 |
| Econ. Disadv.* | 137 | 40.9 | 116 | 49.1 | 110 | 42.7 | 118 | 44.1 | 106 | 41.5 | 0.6 | -2.6 | 137 | 32.8 | 118 | 39.0 | 111 | 36.0 | 119 | 38.7 | 107 | 36.4 | 3.6 | -2.3 |
| Non-Econ. Disadv. | 0 | - | 18 | 50.0 | 28 | 46.4 | 28 | 64.3 | 27 | 59.3 | - | -5.0 | 0 | - | 18 | 44.4 | 29 | 44.8 | 29 | 55.2 | 27 | 55.6 | - | 0.4 |
| Gifted | 36 | 61.1 | 29 | 75.9 | 27 | 74.1 | 19 | 78.9 | 21 | 81.0 | 19.9 | 2.1 | 36 | 61.1 | 29 | 65.5 | 27 | 74.1 | 19 | 84.2 | 21 | 85.7 | 24.6 | 1.5 |
| Not Gifted | 101 | 33.7 | 105 | 41.9 | 111 | 36.0 | 127 | 43.3 | 112 | 38.4 | 4.7 | -4.9 | 101 | 22.8 | 107 | 32.7 | 113 | 29.2 | 129 | 35.7 | 113 | 31.9 | 9.1 | -3.8 |
| With Disabilities | 19 | 0.0 | 15 | 13.3 | 20 | 10.0 | 17 | 11.8 | 19 | 5.3 | 5.3 | -6.5 | 19 | 0.0 | 15 | 6.7 | 20 | 20.0 | 17 | 23.5 | 20 | 15.0 | 15.0 | -8.5 |
| WO Disabilities | 118 | 47.5 | 119 | 53.8 | 118 | 49.2 | 129 | 52.7 | 114 | 51.8 | 4.3 | -0.9 | 118 | 38.1 | 121 | 43.8 | 120 | 40.8 | 131 | 44.3 | 114 | 44.7 | 6.6 | 0.4 |
| Homeless | 4 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 6 | - | - | - | 4 | - | 4 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 6 | - | - | - |
| Foster | 3 | - | 4 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 3 | - | 4 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Military | 12 | 25.0 | 11 | 63.6 | 5 | - | 4 | - | 3 | - | - | - | 12 | 25.0 | 11 | 54.5 | 0 | - | 4 | - | 3 | - | - | - |

* In Provision 2 schools, only students who meet free or reduced-price meal eligibility criteria are counted as economically disadvantaged.
† All students redesignated during the school year from English learner to reclassified fluent English proficient are counted here as fluent English speaking
** Beginning in 2019, Indochinese is included in the Asian ethnic group.


## 2015-2019 California Smarter Balanced Summative Test Results Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard by Grade Level <br> Clay <br> Grade 3

| Student Group | English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Chg From |  | Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Chg From |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  | 2017 |  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2015 | 2018 | 2015 |  | 2016 |  | 2017 |  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2015 | 2018 |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | \% | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | \% | \% |
| Total | 51 | 39.2 | 50 | 56.0 | 41 | 48.8 | 50 | 44.0 | 44 | 52.3 | 13.1 | 8.3 | 51 | 37.3 | 51 | 43.1 | 42 | 59.5 | 52 | 40.4 | 44 | 54.5 | 17.2 | 14.1 |
| Female | 22 | 63.6 | 25 | 64.0 | 12 | 41.7 | 28 | 35.7 | 22 | 54.5 | -9.1 | 18.8 | 22 | 36.4 | 25 | 44.0 | 12 | 50.0 | 28 | 28.6 | 22 | 59.1 | 22.7 | 30.5 |
| Male | 29 | 20.7 | 25 | 48.0 | 29 | 51.7 | 22 | 54.5 | 22 | 50.0 | 29.3 | -4.5 | 29 | 37.9 | 26 | 42.3 | 30 | 63.3 | 24 | 54.2 | 22 | 50.0 | 12.1 | -4.2 |
| African American | 15 | 33.3 | 18 | 44.4 | 9 | - | 17 | 23.5 | 11 | 27.3 | -6.0 | 3.8 | 15 | 26.7 | 19 | 26.3 | 9 | - | 17 | 29.4 | 11 | 36.4 | 9.7 | 7.0 |
| Asian** | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Filipino | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Hispanic | 18 | 27.8 | 17 | 58.8 | 11 | 54.5 | 14 | 28.6 | 26 | 65.4 | 37.6 | 36.8 | 18 | 27.8 | 17 | 47.1 | 11 | 54.5 | 15 | 33.3 | 26 | 65.4 | 37.6 | 32.1 |
| Indochinese** | 3 | - | 0 | - | 10 | 50.0 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 0 | - | 10 | 70.0 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Native American | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Pacific Islander | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| White | 7 | - | 4 | - | 5 | - | 9 | - | 3 | - | - | - | 7 | - | 4 | - | 5 | - | 10 | 40.0 | 3 | - | - | - |
| Multiracial | 7 | - | 11 | 63.6 | 4 | - | 8 | - | 2 | - | - | - | 7 | - | 11 | 54.5 | 5 | - | 8 | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| English Learner | 10 | 0.0 | 9 | - | 11 | 36.4 | 7 | - | 5 | - | - | - | 10 | 20.0 | 10 | 40.0 | 12 | 50.0 | 9 | - | 5 | - | - | - |
| English-Speaking | 41 | 48.8 | 41 | 58.5 | 30 | 53.3 | 43 | 51.2 | 39 | 56.4 | 7.6 | 5.2 | 41 | 41.5 | 41 | 43.9 | 30 | 63.3 | 43 | 48.8 | 39 | 56.4 | 14.9 | 7.6 |
| Reclassified $\dagger$ | 3 | - | 2 | - | 5 | - | 4 | - | 10 | 50.0 | - | - | 3 | - | 2 | - | 5 | - | 4 | - | 10 | 60.0 | - | - |
| Initially Eng. Speaking | 38 | 47.4 | 39 | 56.4 | 25 | 44.0 | 39 | 48.7 | 29 | 58.6 | 11.2 | 9.9 | 38 | 39.5 | 39 | 43.6 | 25 | 56.0 | 39 | 48.7 | 29 | 55.2 | 15.7 | 6.5 |
| Econ. Disadv.* | 51 | 39.2 | 46 | 56.5 | 29 | 51.7 | 43 | 37.2 | 34 | 47.1 | 7.9 | 9.9 | 51 | 37.3 | 47 | 42.6 | 29 | 55.2 | 44 | 38.6 | 34 | 50.0 | 12.7 | 11.4 |
| Non-Econ. Disadv. | 0 | - | 4 | - | 12 | 41.7 | 7 | - | 10 | 70.0 | - | - | 0 | - | 4 | - | 13 | 69.2 | 8 | - | 10 | 70.0 | - | - |
| Gifted | 14 | 57.1 | 8 | - | 11 | 72.7 | 6 | - | 9 | - | - | - | 14 | 71.4 | 8 | - | 11 | 90.9 | 6 | - | 9 | - | - | - |
| Not Gifted | 37 | 32.4 | 42 | 52.4 | 30 | 40.0 | 44 | 38.6 | 35 | 45.7 | 13.3 | 7.1 | 37 | 24.3 | 43 | 37.2 | 31 | 48.4 | 46 | 32.6 | 35 | 45.7 | 21.4 | 13.1 |
| With Disabilities | 5 | - | 6 | - | 8 | - | 6 | - | 4 | - | - | - | 5 | - | 6 | - | 8 | - | 6 | - | 4 | - | - | - |
| WO Disabilities | 46 | 43.5 | 44 | 59.1 | 33 | 57.6 | 44 | 47.7 | 40 | 57.5 |  | 9.8 | 46 |  | 45 | 46.7 | 34 | 64.7 | 46 | 39.1 | 40 | 60.0 |  | 20.9 |
| Homeless | 3 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 3 | - | 2 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Foster | 3 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - | 3 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Military | 6 | - | 2 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | - | - | 6 | - | 2 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | - | - |

* In Provision 2 schools, only students who meet free or reduced-price meal eligibility criteria are counted as economically disadvantaged.
† All students redesignated during the school year from English learner to reclassified fluent English proficient are counted here as fluent English speaking
** Beginning in 2019, Indochinese is included in the Asian ethnic group.


## 2015-2019 California Smarter Balanced Summative Test Results Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard by Grade Level <br> Clay <br> Grade 4

| Student Group | English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Chg From |  | Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Chg From |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  | 2017 |  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2015 | 2018 | 2015 |  | 2016 |  | 2017 |  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2015 | 2018 |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | \% | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | \% | \% |
| Total | 39 | 38.5 | 46 | 45.7 | 50 | 42.0 | 43 | 55.8 | 51 | 31.4 | -7.1 | -24.4 | 39 | 41.0 | 46 | 39.1 | 50 | 36.0 | 43 | 51.2 | 51 | 31.4 | -9.6 | -19.8 |
| Female | 19 | 47.4 | 23 | 56.5 | 23 | 56.5 | 15 | 66.7 | 28 | 28.6 | -18.8 | -38.1 | 19 | 52.6 | 23 | 39.1 | 23 | 34.8 | 15 | 46.7 | 28 | 25.0 | -27.6 | -21.7 |
| Male | 20 | 30.0 | 23 | 34.8 | 27 | 29.6 | 28 | 50.0 | 23 | 34.8 | 4.8 | -15.2 | 20 | 30.0 | 23 | 39.1 | 27 | 37.0 | 28 | 53.6 | 23 | 39.1 | 9.1 | -14.5 |
| African American | 10 | 30.0 | 11 | 45.5 | 16 | 25.0 | 10 | 40.0 | 17 | 23.5 | -6.5 | -16.5 | 10 | 40.0 | 11 | 36.4 | 16 | 18.8 | 10 | 50.0 | 17 | 29.4 | -10.6 | -20.6 |
| Asian** | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Filipino | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Hispanic | 14 | 28.6 | 17 | 41.2 | 21 | 57.1 | 13 | 46.2 | 15 | 26.7 | -1.9 | -19.5 | 14 | 28.6 | 17 | 35.3 | 21 | 47.6 | 13 | 30.8 | 15 | 13.3 | -15.3 | -17.5 |
| Indochinese** | 1 | - | 3 | - | 0 | - | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | 0 | - | 7 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Native American | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Pacific Islander | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| White | 7 | - | 7 | - | 3 | - | 5 | - | 7 | - | - | - | 7 | - | 7 | - | 3 | - | 5 | - | 7 | - | - | - |
| Multiracial | 6 | - | 6 | - | 10 | 40.0 | 5 | - | 9 | - | - | - | 6 | - | 6 | - | 10 | 40.0 | 5 | - | 9 | - | - | - |
| English Learner | 5 | - | 7 | - | 9 | - | 8 | - | 7 | - | - | - | 5 | - | 7 | - | 9 | - | 8 | - | 7 | - | - | - |
| English-Speaking | 34 | 44.1 | 39 | 53.8 | 41 | 48.8 | 35 | 54.3 | 44 | 36.4 | -7.7 | -17.9 | 34 | 44.1 | 39 | 41.0 | 41 | 39.0 | 35 | 51.4 | 44 | 36.4 | -7.7 | -15.0 |
| Reclassified $\dagger$ | 4 | - | 2 | - | 8 | - | 8 | - | 3 | - | - | - | 4 | - | 2 | - | 8 | - | 8 | - | 3 | - | - | - |
| Initially Eng. Speaking | 30 | 40.0 | 37 | 51.4 | 33 | 42.4 | 27 | 44.4 | 41 | 36.6 | -3.4 | -7.8 | 30 | 43.3 | 37 | 37.8 | 33 | 36.4 | 27 | 37.0 | 41 | 36.6 | -6.7 | -0.4 |
| Econ. Disadv.* | 39 | 38.5 | 37 | 43.2 | 43 | 41.9 | 31 | 51.6 | 41 | 26.8 | -11.7 | -24.8 | 39 | 41.0 | 37 | 35.1 | 43 | 37.2 | 31 | 45.2 | 41 | 29.3 | -11.7 | -15.9 |
| Non-Econ. Disadv. | 0 | - | 9 | - | 7 | - | 12 | 66.7 | 10 | 50.0 | - | -16.7 | 0 | - | 9 | - | 7 | - | 12 | 66.7 | 10 | 40.0 | - | -26.7 |
| Gifted | 9 | - | 12 | 66.7 | 7 | - | 8 | - | 5 | - | - | - | 9 | - | 12 | 50.0 | 7 | - | 8 | - | 5 | - | - | - |
| Not Gifted | 30 | 26.7 | 34 | 38.2 | 43 | 39.5 | 35 | 45.7 | 46 | 26.1 | -0.6 | -19.6 | 30 | 30.0 | 34 | 35.3 | 43 | 32.6 | 35 | 40.0 | 46 | 26.1 | -3.9 | -13.9 |
| With Disabilities | 7 | - | 15 | 13.3 | 6 | - | 7 | - | 8 | - | - | - | 7 | - | 4 | - | 6 | - | 7 | - | 8 | - | - | - |
| WO Disabilities | 32 | 46.9 | 42 | 50.0 | 44 | 45.5 | 36 | 66.7 | 43 | 34.9 | -12.0 | -31.8 | 32 | 50.0 | 42 | 42.9 | 44 | 38.6 | 36 | 61.1 | 43 | 30.2 | -19.8 | -30.9 |
| Homeless | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 4 | - | - | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 4 | - | - | - |
| Foster | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Military | 2 | - | 6 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 6 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - |

* In Provision 2 schools, only students who meet free or reduced-price meal eligibility criteria are counted as economically disadvantaged.
† All students redesignated during the school year from English learner to reclassified fluent English proficient are counted here as fluent English speaking
** Beginning in 2019, Indochinese is included in the Asian ethnic group.


## 2015-2019 California Smarter Balanced Summative Test Results Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standard by Grade Level Clay <br> Grade 5

| Student Group | English Language Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Chg From |  | Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Chg From |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  | 2017 |  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2015 | 2018 | 2015 |  | 2016 |  | 2017 |  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2015 | 2018 |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | \% | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | \% | \% |
| Total | 47 | 44.7 | 38 | 44.7 | 47 | 40.4 | 53 | 45.3 | 38 | 55.3 | 10.6 | 10.0 | 47 | 21.3 | 39 | 35.9 | 48 | 20.8 | 53 | 35.8 | 39 | 35.9 | 14.6 | 0.1 |
| Female | 26 | 46.2 | 19 | 57.9 | 18 | 55.6 | 24 | 37.5 | 16 | 56.3 | 10.1 | 18.8 | 26 | 15.4 | 20 | 30.0 | 19 | 21.1 | 25 | 24.0 | 16 | 25.0 | 9.6 | 1.0 |
| Male | 21 | 42.9 | 19 | 31.6 | 29 | 31.0 | 29 | 51.7 | 22 | 54.5 | 11.6 | 2.8 | 21 | 28.6 | 19 | 42.1 | 29 | 20.7 | 28 | 46.4 | 23 | 43.5 | 14.9 | -2.9 |
| African American | 12 | 33.3 | 11 | 36.4 | 13 | 23.1 | 17 | 23.5 | 8 | - | - | - | 12 | 16.7 | 12 | 33.3 | 14 | 14.3 | 17 | 17.6 | 9 | - | - | - |
| Asian** | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 8 | - | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 8 | - | - | - |
| Filipino | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Hispanic | 18 | 44.4 | 16 | 37.5 | 19 | 36.8 | 22 | 63.6 | 10 | 40.0 | -4.4 | -23.6 | 18 | 22.2 | 16 | 25.0 | 19 | 21.1 | 21 | 47.6 | 10 | 30.0 | 7.8 | -17.6 |
| Indochinese** | 3 | - | 0 | - | 3 | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 0 | - | 3 | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Native American | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| White | 7 | - | 6 | - | 6 | - | 4 | - | 4 | - | - | - | 7 | - | 6 | - | 6 | - | 4 | - | 4 | - | - | - |
| Multiracial | 6 | - | 5 | - | 5 | - | 9 | - | 5 | - | - | - | 6 | - | 5 | - | 5 | - | 10 | 20.0 | 5 | - | - | - |
| English Learner | 4 | - | 4 | - | 2 | - | 6 | - | 5 | - | - | - | 4 | - | 5 | - | 3 | - | 7 | - | 6 | - | - | - |
| English-Speaking | 43 | 48.8 | 34 | 50.0 | 45 | 42.2 | 47 | 46.8 | 33 | 60.6 | 11.8 | 13.8 | 43 | 23.3 | 34 | 38.2 | 45 | 22.2 | 46 | 37.0 | 33 | 39.4 | 16.1 | 2.4 |
| Reclassified $\dagger$ | 7 | - | 6 | - | 8 | - | 13 | 69.2 | 9 | - | - | - | 7 | - | 6 | - | 8 | - | 13 | 38.5 | 9 | - | - | - |
| Initially Eng. Speaking | 36 | 47.2 | 28 | 42.9 | 37 | 43.2 | 34 | 38.2 | 24 | 50.0 | 2.8 | 11.8 | 36 | 19.4 | 28 | 35.7 | 37 | 18.9 | 33 | 36.4 | 24 | 33.3 | 13.9 | -3.1 |
| Econ. Disadv.* | 47 | 44.7 | 33 | 45.5 | 38 | 36.8 | 44 | 45.5 | 31 | 54.8 | 10.1 | 9.3 | 47 | 21.3 | 34 | 38.2 | 39 | 20.5 | 44 | 34.1 | 32 | 31.3 | 10.0 | -2.8 |
| Non-Econ. Disadv. | 0 | - | 5 | - | 9 | - | 9 | - | 7 | - | - | - | 0 | - | 5 | - | 9 | - | 9 | - | 7 | - | - | - |
| Gifted | 13 | 53.8 | 9 | - | 9 | - | 5 | - | 7 | - | - | - | 13 | 38.5 | 9 | - | 9 | - | 5 | - | 7 | - | - | - |
| Not Gifted | 34 | 41.2 | 29 | 31.0 | 38 | 28.9 | 48 | 45.8 | 31 | 48.4 | 7.2 | 2.6 | 34 | 14.7 | 30 | 23.3 | 39 | 10.3 | 48 | 35.4 | 32 | 25.0 | 10.3 | -10.4 |
| With Disabilities | 7 | - | 15 | 13.3 | 20 | 10.0 | 4 | - | 7 | - | - | - | 7 | - | 5 | - | 20 | 20.0 | 4 | - | 8 | - | - | - |
| WO Disabilities | 40 | 52.5 | 33 | 51.5 | 41 | 46.3 | 49 | 46.9 | 31 | 67.7 | 15.2 | 20.8 | 40 | 25.0 | 34 | 41.2 | 42 | 23.8 | 49 | 36.7 | 31 | 45.2 | 20.2 | 8.5 |
| Homeless | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Foster | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Military | 4 | - | 3 | - | 4 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 4 | - | 3 | - | 0 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - |

* In Provision 2 schools, only students who meet free or reduced-price meal eligibility criteria are counted as economically disadvantaged.
† All students redesignated during the school year from English learner to reclassified fluent English proficient are counted here as fluent English speaking
** Beginning in 2019, Indochinese is included in the Asian ethnic group.


## SCHOOL PERFORMANCE OVERVIEW

## Clay Elementary

Explore the performance of Clay Elementary under California's
Accountability System.

| Chronic Absenteeism | Suspension Rate | English Learner <br> Progress |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yellow | Orange | No Performance Color |

English Language Arts


Orange

## School Details

NAME<br>Clay Elementary

## ADDRESS

6506 Solita Avenue
San Diego, CA 92115-
4244

## CLAY ELEMENTARY

## Student Population

Explore information about this school's student population.

Enrollment Foster Youth | Socioeconomically |
| :---: |
| Disadvantaged |$\quad$ English Learners

## Academic Performance

View Student Assessment Results and other aspects of school performance.

| LEARN MORE <br> English Language Arts | LEARN MORE <br> Mathematics | LEARN MORE <br> English Learner Progress |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 55.3\% making |
| Orange | Green | English language proficiency |
| 10.8 points below standard | 16.4 points below standard | Number of EL Students: <br> 38 |
| Maintained -1.3 Points | Increased 3.8 Points $\boldsymbol{A}$ | Progress Levels |
| Equity report Number of Student Groups in Each Color | EQUITY REPORT Number of Student Groups in Each Color | Very High $=65 \%$ or higher High $=55 \%$ to less than 65\% |
| $\mathbf{0}$ $\mathbf{3}$ $\mathbf{1}$ <br> Red Orange Yellow | $\mathbf{0}$ $\mathbf{0}$ $\mathbf{1}$ <br> Red Orange Yellow | $\begin{gathered} \text { Medium }=45 \% \text { to less than } \\ 55 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| $\underset{\text { Green }}{\mathbf{0}} \underset{\text { Blue }}{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\underset{\text { Green }}{\mathbf{3}} \underset{\substack{\mathbf{0} \\ \text { Blue }}}{\mathbf{0}}$ | Low $=35 \%$ to less than $45 \%$ <br> Very Low $=$ Less than $35 \%$ |

## CLAY ELEMENTARY

## Academic Engagement

See information that shows how well schools are engaging students in their learning.

| LEARN MORE <br> Chronic Absenteeism |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yellow |  |  |
| 17.2\% chronically absent |  |  |
| Declined 0.5\% V |  |  |
| EQUITY REPORT Number of Student Groups in Each Color |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{R e d} \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | $\underset{\text { Yellow }}{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| $\mathbf{0}$ |  | $0$ |

## CLAY ELEMENTARY

## Conditions \& Climate

View data related to how well schools are providing a healthy, safe and welcoming environment.

LEARN MORE

## Suspension Rate



Orange

## $3.5 \%$ suspended at least once <br> Increased 0.6\%

EQUITY REPORT
Number of Student Groups in Each


## Academic Performance

View student assessment results and other aspects of school performance under the California Accountability System.

## English Language Arts

## All Students

Explore how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on either the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

## All Students



Orange
10.8 points below standard

Maintained -1.3 Points
Number of Students: 122

## Student Group Details

## All Student Groups by Performance Level

## 4 Total Student Groups



Red

No Students


Orange
English Learners
Hispanic
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged


Green
No Students


Blue
No Students


Yellow
African American


No Performance Color American Indian

Asian
Filipino
Homeless
Two or More Races
Pacific Islander
Students with Disabilities
White

| American Indian | No Performance Color |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Performance Color | Ness than 11 students - data not |
| displayed for privacy |  |
| displayed for privacy |  |
| Number of Students: 1 |  |

## Distance From Standard (English Language Arts)

Distance from Standard measures how far, on average, students are from the lowest possible score for Standard Met. The Smarter Balanced Consortium has identified Standard Met as demonstrating the knowledge and skills necessary for students to be on track for college and career readiness at their grade level.

|  | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students | 19.8 points below standard | 9.4 points below standard | 10.8 points below standard |

## English Language Arts Data Comparisons: English Learners

Additional information on distance from standard for current English learners, prior or Reclassified English learners, and English Only students in English Language Arts.

| Current English Learners | Reclassified English Learners | English Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 93 points below standard | 38.2 points above standard | 12.6 points below standard |
| Declined 35 Points $\boldsymbol{\text { Increased } 1 2 . 1 \text { Points } \boldsymbol { A }}$ | Maintained 2.8 Points |  |
| Number of Students: 15 | Number of Students: 22 | Number of Students: 78 |

## Mathematics

## All Students

Explore how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance either on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment or the California Alternate Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.
All Students
16.4 points below standard
Increased 3.8 Points $\mathbf{\Delta}$
Number of Students: 122

## Student Group Details

## All Student Groups by Performance Level

4 Total Student Groups
No Students
English Learners
Hispanic

| American Indian <br> No Performance Color | Asian <br> No Performance Color | Filipino <br> No Performance Color |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 11 students - data not displayed for privacy <br> Number of Students: 1 | Less than 11 students - data not displayed for privacy <br> Number of Students: 10 | Less than 11 students - data not displayed for privacy <br> Number of Students: 2 |
| Homeless <br> No Performance Color | Two or More Races <br> No Performance Color | Pacific Islander <br> No Performance Color |
| Less than 11 students - data not displayed for privacy <br> Number of Students: 2 | 26.1 points below standard <br> Declined 29.9 Points <br> Number of Students: 13 | Less than 11 students - data not displayed for privacy <br> Number of Students: 1 |
| Students with Disabilities <br> No Performance Color | White <br> No Performance Color | African American |
| 101.3 points below standard <br> Declined 22 Points <br> Number of Students: 19 | 15.6 points below standard <br> Declined 27.4 Points <br> Number of Students: 13 | 41.6 points below standard <br> Increased 11.7 Points <br> Number of Students: 34 |
| English Learners | Hispanic | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged <br> Green |
| 8.5 points below standard <br> Increased 9.6 Points <br> Number of Students: 37 | 16.8 points below standard <br> Increased 15.9 Points <br> Number of Students: 47 | 20.6 points below standard <br> Increased 6.4 Points <br> Number of Students: 100 |

Distance from Standard measures how far, on average, students are from the lowest possible score for Standard Met. The Smarter Balanced Consortium has identified Standard Met as demonstrating the knowledge and skills necessary for students to be on track for college and career readiness at their grade level.

|  | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All Students | 20.9 points below standard | 20.1 points below standard | 16.4 points below standard |

## Mathematics Data Comparisons: English Learners

Additional information on distance from standard for current English learners, prior or Reclassified English learners, and English Only students in mathematics.

| Current English Learners | Reclassified English Learners | English Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 67.5 points below standard | 31.8 points above standard | 23.6 points below standard |
| Declined 6.5 Points $\boldsymbol{\nabla}$ | Increased 15.5 Points $\boldsymbol{A}$ | Maintained 1.7 Points |
| Number of Students: 15 | Number of Students: 22 | Number of Students: 78 |

## English Learner Progress Indicator

## All English Learner Students

Explore information on the percentage of current EL students making progress towards English language proficiency or maintaining the highest level.

Assessments: ELs take the ELPAC exam to measure progress towards Eng-lish language proficiency. The ELPAC has 4 levels.

LEARN MORE
English Learner Progress


Accountability: The 4 ELPAC levels were divided into 6 ELPI levels to determine whether ELs made progress toward English language proficiency.

## Student English Language Acquisition Results

The percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4, maintained lower ELPI levels (i.e, levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H), or decreased at least one ELPI Level.
$\qquad$

| ELs Who Decreased at Least One ELPI Level | $18.4 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| ELs who Maintained ELPI Levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, 3H | $26.3 \%$ |
| ELs who Maintained ELPI Level 4 | N/A |
| ELs Who Progressed at Least One ELPI Level | $55.2 \%$ |

## Academic Engagement

View data about academic participation.

## Chronic Absenteeism

## All Students

Explore information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.

## All Students



Yellow

## 17.2\% chronically absent

Declined 0.5\% $\boldsymbol{\nabla}$
Number of Students: 332

## Student Group Details

## All Student Groups by Performance Level

7 Total Student Groups



Green
No Students


Blue
No Students


No Performance Color
American Indian
Asian
Filipino
Foster Youth
Homeless
Pacific Islander

| American Indian |  | Filipino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Performance Color | No Performance Color | No Performance Color |
| Less than 11 students - data not displayed for privacy | 11.1\% chronically absent Increased 7.3\% | Less than 11 students - data not displayed for privacy |
| Number of Students: 2 | Number of Students: 27 | Number of Students: 3 |
| Foster Youth | Homeless | Pacific Islander |
| No Performance Color | No Performance Color | Performance Color |
| Less than 11 students - data not displayed for privacy <br> Number of Students: 3 | 42.1\% chronically absent <br> Declined 14.1\% <br> Number of Students: 19 | Less than 11 students - data not displayed for privacy <br> Number of Students: 2 |
| English Learners | Hispanic | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged |
| 24.1\% chronically absent | 21.1\% chronically absent |  |
| Increased 7.1\% <br> Number of Students: 79 | Increased 1.1\% <br> Number of Students: 128 | 20.6\% chronically absent <br> Increased 1.9\% <br> Number of Students: 267 |
| African American | Students with Disabilities | Two or More Races |
| 12.6\% chronically absent | 27.8\% chronically absent | 17.9\% chronically absent |
| Increased 1\% <br> Number of Students: 87 | Declined 4.7\% <br> Number of Students: 54 | Declined 6.5\% <br> Number of Students: 39 |
| White |  |  |
| 18.2\% chronically absent |  |  |
| Declined 5.2\% <br> Number of Students: 44 |  |  |

## Conditions and Climate

View data related to the attitudes, behaviors, and performance of students.

## Suspension Rate

## All Students

Explore information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.

## All Students



Orange
$3.5 \%$ suspended at least once
Increased 0.6\% $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$
Number of Students: 340

## Student Group Details

## All Student Groups by Performance Level

7 Total Student Groups


Red
White


Orange
Hispanic
Two or More Races
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged


Green
No Students


Blue
No Students


Yellow
African American
English Learners
Students with Disabilities


No Performance Color
American Indian
Asian
Filipino
Foster Youth
Homeless
Pacific Islander


## APPENDIX E

## 2021-22 SPSA ASSESSMENT AND EvaluATION

## School Name: Clay Elementary <br> SPSA Evaluation of Title I Funded Actions/Activities: 30100,30103,30106,31820 School Year: 2021-22

## Goal 1 - Safe, Collaborative and Inclusive Culture

## Strategy/Activity 1

## *Strategy/Activity - Description

The school counselor, attendance clerk, nurse and principal will meet monthly to monitor student attendance and suspensions. We will work collaboratively with parents, students, teachers and support staff to implement strategies that will decrease chronic absenteeism (i.e personal phone calls, home visits, student/family goal setting, welcome back to school plan). Additionally our Lighthouse Leadership Team will continue to ensure opportunities for student leadership in an effort to engage all students in school.

## *Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

## Directions:

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
Guiding Questions:
Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

| Proposed Expenditures | FTE | Estimated Cost | Funding Source | Rationale | What is working (effective) and why? Include qualitative (Survey, observations, notes and minutes) and quantitative data (curriculum assessments, pre/post test, progress monitoring results, etc.). | $\qquad$ <br> What is not working (ineffective indicators) and why? Include qualitative (Survey, observations, notes and minutes) and quantitative data curriculum assessments, pre/post test, progress | Modifications based on qualitative and quantitative data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

San Diego Unified school district

Clay Elementary SPSA Evaluation of Titce I Funded Actions/Activities

|  |  |  |  |  | monitoring results, etc.). |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Supplies | \$7,001.00 | 30106-4301 | paper, pencils, notebooks, reading materials | Supplies were used to creat materials related to the Leader in Me. Such as leadership binders, reflection forms, leadership applications, etc. | n/a | Money will continue to be allocated to support materials related to Leader in Me. |
| Classroom Teacher Hrly | \$9,499.73 | 30106-1157 | Intervention for students who need support in reading | According to data from the FAST, students in grades 1-5 showed growth in their reading. | Due to the many factors related to COVID many teachers were not available to tutor students. | Money will continue to be allocated to support students who need additional support in reading. |

## Clay Elementary SPSA Evaluation of Title I Funded Actions/Activities

## Goal 2 - English Language Arts

## Strategy/Activity 2- PLCs

## *Strategy/Activity - Description

PLC's will occur at a minimum of 1x per month. While teachers engage in collaborative PLC, students will participate in the VAPA program provided by SDUSD VAPA teachers.
During PLC's teachers work collabortively with grade-level teams, Intervention Teacher, School Counselor, Ed Specialist and Principal to analyze student data, and create learning plans based on student progress.
*Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity
Directions:
Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal. Guiding Questions:
Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to

| Proposed Expenditures | FTE | Estimated Cost | Funding Source | Rationale | What is working (effective) and why? Include qualitative (Survey, observations, notes and minutes) and quantitative data (curriculum assessments, pre/post test, progress monitoring results, etc.). | What is not <br> working <br> (ineffective <br> indicators) and <br> why? Include <br> qualitative <br> (Survey, <br> observations, <br> notes and <br> minutes) and <br> quantitative data <br> (curriculum <br> assessments, <br> pre/post test, <br> progress <br> monitoring <br> results, etc.). | Modifications based on qualitative and quantitative data. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inschool Resource Tchr | 0.59750 | \$88,236.32 | 30100-1109 | Reading Intervention support | Reading Intervention teacher provided support to | Our school had $54 \%$ of students chronically absent, this | We are creating school-wide inicitives to |

Clay Elementary SPSA Evaluation of Title I Funded Actions/Activities

|  |  |  |  | students in grade $K-2$ in reading and ELA. Based on data from the $F$ and $P$, students showed growth in reading with the Tier 2 support. | created challenges around supporting students in consistent reading routines. | encourage regular attendance. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non Clsrm Tchr Hrly | \$1,562.33 | 30100-1957 | Supporting students through preparation of projects and materials | This money was used to support in the overage for our Resource Teacher. | This money was used to support in the overage for our Resource Teacher. | Money was specifically allocated to support this overage. |
| Software License | \$4,000.00 | 30106-5841 | Accelerated Reader, Raz Kids, Scholastic | Our school met our school wide goal of getting 7,000 AR points. Raz Kids and Scholastic support students in increasing reading achievement based on $F$ and $P$ and STAR | Challenges arose in keeping up with prizes for the number of students who were earning points on AR. A new system was created to support this. | At the end of the school year a survey was sent to all staff to determine needs for on-line progamrs. Based on these results, our school opted not to renew Scholastic |

San Diego Unified school district

## Goal 3 - Mathematics

## *Strategy/Activity - Description

Intervention teacher will support and collaborate with classroom teachers to impact teacher effectiveness. Intervention teacher will help classroom teachers in planning and implementing differentiated instruction and supports for struggling students (Tier I instruction). Intervention teacher will provide small group instruction to students who are not making progess, including English Learners.
Intervention teacher, SPED support staff, Ed Specialist(s), and counselor will continue to support and collaborate with classroom teachers to impact teacher effectiveness. Support staff (including SPED team) will help classroom teachers in planning and implementing differentiated instruction and supports for struggling students (tier 1 instruction). Ed Specialist(s) and counselor will regularly attend PLC.
Supplies to support Engage NY curriculum (i.e math manipulatives and tools)
Software licenses to support on-line learning (IXL)
*Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

## Directions:

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal. Guiding Questions:
Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

| Proposed Expenditures | FTE | Estimated Cost | Funding Source | Rationale | What is working (effective) and why? Include qualitative (Survey, observations, notes and minutes) and quantitative data (curriculum assessments, pre/post test, progress monitoring results, etc.). | What is not <br> working <br> (ineffective <br> indicators) and <br> why? Include <br> qualitative <br> (Survey, <br> observations, <br> notes and <br> minutes) and <br> quantitative data <br> (curriculum <br> assessments, <br> pre/post test, <br> progress <br> monitoring <br> results, etc.). | Modifications based on qualitative and quantitative data. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

San Diego Unified
school district
Clay Elementary SPSA Evaluation of TitLe I Funded Actions/Activities

| Interprogram Svcs/VAPA | \$20,000.00 | 30106-5738 | PLC | PLC's allowed teachers time to collaborate with their grade-level teams, analize data and make instructional decisions based on data. | Due to the sub shortage PLC's were canceled for a period of time. | VAPA created systems to support the issues around subs. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Software License | \$4,000.00 | 30106-5841 | IXL | IXL was purchased to support teachers in math instruction. | IXL was not used by all grade-levels | A survey was sent out at the end of the school years to teachers and based on durvet results, IXL was not renewed for the 22-23 school year. |
| Prof\&Curriclm Dev Vist Tchr | \$5,000.87 | 30100-1192 | Additional planning time, analyzing student data, supporting struggling students | Teachers used time to work with grade-lelel teams to look and student data and make instructional decisions to support students in their learning. | Due to the sub shortage, subs were not always avaibale. | Much of this work will be done during PLC's |
| Interprogram Svcs/Paper | \$3,000.00 | 30100-5733 | Paper to support math instruction | Paper was used to create materials fro number talks, and other tacher created | n/a | Paper will continue to be used to support student instruction. |

San Diego Unified school district

Clay Elementary SPSA Evaluation of TitLe I Funded Actions/Activities

|  |  |  |  | instructional <br> materials. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Supplies |  | $\$ 7,000.00$ | $30106-4301$ | Paper, pencils, <br> notebooks | Materials <br> purchased to <br> support math <br> instruction <br> include: <br> notebooks, <br> pencils, math <br> manipulatives, <br> erasers. Etc. | n (a | Materials will <br> continue to be <br> used to support <br> student <br> instruction. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

San Diego Unified
school district

## Clay Elementary SPSA Evaluation of TitLe I Funded Actions/Activities

## Goal 4- Supporting English Learners

## Strategy/Activity 1

## *Strategy/Activity - Description

Ed Specialist(s), SPED support staff, counselor and teachers will continue to collaborate during PLC, in order to help accomplish the following: increase student achievement, analyze meaningful and ongoing formitive assessments, monitor student progress, and support students social/emotional needs.
Intervention teacher will provide small group support to EL students who are not making progress on language and/or grade level standards.

## *Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

## Directions:

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal. Guiding Questions:
Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

| Proposed Expenditures | FTE | Estimated Cost | Funding Source | Rationale | What is working (effective) and why? Include qualitative (Survey, observations, notes and minutes) and quantitative data (curriculum assessments, pre/post test, progress monitoring results, etc.). | What is not <br> working <br> (ineffective <br> indicators) and <br> why? Include <br> qualitative <br> (Survey, <br> observations, <br> notes and <br> minutes) and <br> quantitative data <br> (curriculum <br> assessments, <br> pre/post test, <br> progress <br> monitoring <br> results, etc.). | Modifications based on qualitative and quantitative data. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inschool Resource Tchr | 0.00250 | \$369.18 | 30106-1109 | ELD intervention and support | Our resource teacher was able to support EL's in grades K-5 in Tier 2 ELD instruction. | Our school had 54\% of students chronically absent. Student absences created | Our school has created attendance rewards to encourage rgular |


|  |  |  |  | 2 of our EL's were <br> reclassified during <br> the 21-22 schallenges around <br> constant studnt <br> support. | school <br> attendance. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

San Diego Unified
school district

## Clay Elementary SPSA Evaluation of Title I Funded Actions/Activities

## Goal 7 - Family Engagement

## Strategy/Activity 1

## *Strategy/Activity - Description

Allocate funds for inservice supplies for parent meetings and workshops.
*Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity
Directions:
Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal. Guiding Questions:
Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

| Proposed Expenditures | FTE | Estimated Cost | Funding Source | Rationale | What is working (effective) and why? Include qualitative (Survey, observations, notes and minutes) and quantitative data (curriculum assessments, pre/post test, progress monitoring results, etc.). | What is not <br> working <br> (ineffective <br> indicators) and <br> why? Include <br> qualitative <br> (Survey, <br> observations, <br> notes and <br> minutes) and <br> quantitative data <br> (curriculum <br> assessments, <br> pre/post test, <br> progress <br> monitoring <br> results, etc.). | Modifications based on qualitative and quantitative data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inservice supplies |  | \$1,257.00 | 30103-4304 | Paper to create flyers for parent events, as well as documents for parent groups such as SSC | Flyers were created for events such as Family Friday and Back to School Night. | Parent opportunites were limited due to COVID restrictions. | One of our school goals this year in to increase parent engagement. |
| Postage Expense |  | \$800.00 | 30103-5920 | communication to families | Postage was used to communicate | n/a | No changes. |
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|  |  |  |  | with families <br> when sending out <br> various dicuments <br> such as report <br> cards, poweschool <br> instructions, etc. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

San Diego Unified
school district

## Clay Elementary SPSA Evaluation of TitLe I Funded Actions/Activities

## Goal 6 - Supporting Black Youth

## Strategy/Activity 1

## *Strategy/Activity - Description

The Leader in Me program supports student engagement with school. This program will continue to be implimented, school-wide. Students will have leadership opportunites, will set persoanl and academic goals and will have opportunities to paticipate in enrichment activites outside of the regular classroom. Our Attendance Clerk, Nurse, School Counselor and Principal will meet monthly to review attendance data and create plans to support familes.
Intervention teacher will provide small group instruction to students who are not making progess in both ELA and Math. Intervention teacher will support and collaborate with classroom teachers to impact teacher effectiveness. Intervention teacher will help classroom teachers in planning and implementing differentiated instruction and supports for struggling students (Tier I instruction).

## *Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

Directions:
Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
Guiding Questions:
Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to

| Proposed Expenditures | FTE | Estimated Cost | Funding Source | Rationale | What is working (effective) and why? Include qualitative (Survey, observations, notes and minutes) and quantitative data (curriculum assessments, pre/post test, progress monitoring results, etc.). | What is not working (ineffective indicators) and why? Include qualitative (Survey, observations, notes and minutes) and quantitative data (curriculum assessments, pre/post test, progress monitoring results, etc.). | Modifications based on qualitative and quantitative data. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Supplies |  | \$10,000.00 | 30100-4301 | Instructional supplies such as pencils, paper, notebooks, etc. | Materials purchased include: paper, pencils, journals, ect. | n/a | No changes. |

## School Name: Clay Elementary

SPSA Evaluation of LCFF Funded Actions/Activities: 09800
SCHOOL YEAR: 2021-22

## Goal 1 - Safe, Collaborative and Inclusive Culture

## Strategy/Activity 1

## *Strategy/Activity - Description

The school counselor, attendance clerk, nurse and principal will meet monthly to monitor student attendance and suspensions. We will work collaboratively with parents, students, teachers and support staff to implement strategies that will decrease chronic absenteeism (i.e. personal phone calls, home visits, student/family goal setting, welcome back to school plan). Additionally our Lighthouse Leadership Team will continue to ensure opportunities for student leadership in an effort to engage all students in school.

## *Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

## Directions:

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal. Guiding Questions:
Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal

| Proposed Expenditures | FTE | Estimated Cost | Funding Source | Rationale | What is working (effective) and why? Include qualitative (Survey, observations, notes and minutes) and quantitative data (curriculum assessments, pre/post test, progress monitoring results, etc.). | What is not working (ineffective indicators) and why? Include qualitative (Survey, observations, notes and minutes) and quantitative data (curriculum assessments, pre/post test, progress monitoring results, etc.). | Modifications based on qualitative and quantitative data. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Clay Elementary SPSA Evaluation of LCFF Funded Actions/Activities

| Interprogram Svcs/Paper |  | \$5,000.00 | 09800-5733 | support school staff in implementation of the Leader in Me program; worksheets, goal setting, special school-wide events | In the 21-22 school year, our school achieved <br> "lighthouse" status with the Leader in Me program. | Based on the school climate survey (MRA) done through Leader in Me , there is a need for more activities that promote school belonging for both families and students. | Based on data from the MRA, our Lighthouse action teams are developing survey's to seek family input on how to best support them as a school. We are also developing opportunities for staff led clubs, in an effort to support students in having several adults on campus who they can connect with. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contracted Svcs Less Than $\$ 25 \mathrm{~K}$ |  | \$8,000.00 | 09800-5853 | Leader in Me licensing fee | In the 21-22 school year, our school achieved "lighthouse" status with the Leader in Me program | Based on the school climate survey (MRA) done through Leader in Me , there is a need for more activities that promote school belonging for both families and students. | We were able to fund the Leader in Me through district fund this year. |

## Clay Elementary SPSA Evaluation of LCFF Funded Actions/Activities

## Goal 2 - English Language Arts

## Strategy/Activity 2- PLCs

## *Strategy/Activity - Description

PLC's will occur at a minimum of 1 x per month. While teachers engage in collaborative PLC, students will participate in the VAPA program provided by SDUSD VAPA teachers.
During PLC's teachers work collaboratively with grade-level teams, Intervention Teacher, School Counselor, Ed Specialist and Principal to analyze student data, and create learning plans based on student progress.
*Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity
Directions:
Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal. Guiding Questions:
Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

| Proposed Expenditures | FTE | Estimated Cost | Funding Source | Rationale | What is working (effective) and why? Include qualitative (Survey, observations, notes and minutes) and quantitative data (curriculum assessments, pre/post test, progress monitoring results, etc.). | What is not <br> working <br> (ineffective <br> indicators) and <br> why? Include <br> qualitative <br> (Survey, <br> observations, <br> notes and <br> minutes) and <br> quantitative data <br> (curriculum <br> assessments, <br> pre/post test, <br> progress <br> monitoring <br> results, etc.). | Modifications based on qualitative and quantitative data. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conference Local |  | \$3,000.00 | 09800-5209 | Support professional development in literacy | Teachers attended district provided PD around Literacy | Due to sub shortages, teachers were unable to attend | Based on ELA/Reading data we will continue to focus |

Clay Elementary SPSA Evaluation of LCFF Funded Actions/Activities

|  |  |  |  | and guided reading last year. Data from the FAST as well as reading data from <br> Accelerated <br> Reader shows students made progress toward meeting grade level expectations in reading | PD/conferences during the regular school day. | professional development around support students in Literacy, while targeting our most at risk students. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Supplies | \$3,000.00 | 09800-4301 | Instructional materials to support student learning. | Supplies included materials to create supports in literacy. Such as paper, dividers, sheet protectors, whiteboards, pencils. | n/a | Money will continue to be allocated to support students in literacy and in writing. |
| Software License | \$5,000.00 | 09800-5841 | Accelerated Reader | Accelerated <br> Reader supports students in reading. Students are able to take the STAR <br> assessment three times a year to determine reading level/growth. Based on this data students set reading goals for | Additional training for teachers would be helpful in the implementation of Accelerated Reader | Teachers will receive additional training around best practices for the use of this program. |


|  |  |  |  | themselves. <br> Teachers can <br> easily monitor <br> progress and <br> create reports. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

San Diego Unified
school district

## Clay Elementary SPSA Evaluation of LCFF Funded Actions/Activities

## Goal 4- Supporting English Learners

## Strategy/Activity 1

## *Strategy/Activity - Description

Ed Specialist(s), SPED support staff, counselor and teachers will continue to collaborate during PLC, in order to help accomplish the following: increase student achievement, analyze meaningful and ongoing formitive assessments, monitor student progress, and support students social/emotional needs.
Intervention teacher will provide small group support to EL students who are not making progress on language and/or grade level standards.

## *Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

## Directions:

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal. Guiding Questions:
Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

| Proposed Expenditures | FTE | Estimated Cost | Funding Source | Rationale | What is working (effective) and why? Include qualitative (Survey, observations, notes and minutes) and quantitative data (curriculum assessments, pre/post test, progress monitoring results, etc.). | > What is not working (ineffective indicators) and why? Include qualitative (Survey, observations, notes and minutes) and quantitative data (curriculum assessments, pre/post test, progress monitoring results, etc.). | Modifications based on qualitative and quantitative data. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retired NonClsrm Tchr Hrly |  | \$9,185.83 | 09800-1986 | ELD testing | Our retired teacher was able to complete 100\% of our ELPAC testing and 2 | Student absences created some challenges. | Our retired teacher will continue to complete ELPAC testing and we |


|  |  |  |  | students were <br> reclassified. | will work to <br> increase the <br> number of <br> students who can <br> be reclassified. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |

San Diego Unified
school district

## Clay Elementary SPSA Evaluation of LCFF Funded Actions/Activities

## Goal 5 - Supporting Students with Disabilities

## Strategy/Activity 1

## *Strategy/Activity - Description

Counselor, Ed Specialist and School Psych will plan and facilitate professional development for teachers. Additional training will be provided to para educators who directly support students with disabilities. Ed Specialist(s) will co teach to best support students who have IEP's as well as students who need tier 2 support in reading and math.
Intervention will support SPED students (in addition to Ed Specialist's).
Ed Specialist and support providers will attend PLC's on a consistent basis.
*Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity
Directions:
Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal. Guiding Questions:
Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

| Proposed Expenditures | FTE | Estimated Cost | Funding Source | Rationale | What is working (effective) and why? Include qualitative (Survey, observations, notes and minutes) and quantitative data (curriculum assessments, pre/post test, progress monitoring results, etc.). | What is not <br> working <br> (ineffective <br> indicators) and <br> why? Include <br> qualitative <br> (Survey, <br> observations, <br> notes and <br> minutes) and <br> quantitative data <br> (curriculum <br> assessments, <br> pre/post test, <br> progress <br> monitoring <br> results, etc.). | Modifications based on qualitative and quantitative data. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Classroom PARAS Hrly |  | \$2,661.80 | 09800-2151 | Extra support for SWD i.e. prepping materials, collecting data, | PARA's are able to collaborate with | Our SWD subgroup continues to be an | Based on data from FAST and CAASP our PARA |
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## Clay Elementary SPSA Evaluation of LCFF Funded Actions/Activities

|  |  |  |  | creating behavior charts | Ed Specialist to support our SWD. | area of focus for our school, with 15\% scoring proficient on the CAASSP. | educators will support our SWD on Wednesday's after dismissal in an effort to close the achievement gap. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

San Diego Unified
school district

## Goal 8- Graduation/Promotion Rate

School Counselor

## *Strategy/Activity - Description

Data from the CA dashboard indicates that $6.8 \%$ of white students were suspended at least one time in the 18-19 school year. In an effort to decrease suspension rates:
Staff will continue to receive professional development around Restorative Practices.
School-wide implementation of the Leader in Me will continue to be strengthened and the 7 habits will be explicitly taught in every classroom.
Our school counselor will provide small group intervention to students needing social-emotional support, will teach weekly lessons in all 3-5 grade classrooms, and will support with tier 1 and tier 1 discipline matters.
The SDUSD Early Prevention Program team will support students in grades TK-2 with weekly lessons, will provide parents trainings for all families and will work 1-1 with students needing extra support.

## *Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

## Directions:

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal. Guiding Questions:
Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

| Proposed Expenditures | FTE | Estimated Cost | Funding Source | Rationale | What is working (effective) and why? Include qualitative (Survey, observations, notes and minutes) and quantitative data (curriculum assessments, pre/post test, progress monitoring results, etc.). | What is not <br> working <br> (ineffective <br> indicators) and <br> why? Include <br> qualitative <br> (Survey, <br> observations, <br> notes and <br> minutes) and <br> quantitative data <br> (curriculum <br> assessments, <br> pre/post test, <br> progress <br> monitoring <br> results, etc.). | Modifications <br> based on <br> qualitative and <br> quantitative data. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Clay Elementary SPSA Evaluation of LCFF Funded Actions/Activities

| Interprogram Svcs/Field Trip |  | \$3,000.00 | 09800-5735 | Enhance student learning through virtual or in person field trips | Based on data from the MRA, students feel connected to school through engaging school activities such as field trips. Money was allocated to support each grade-level in attending one field trip per year. | Due to COVID restrictions, students were limited in the availability of off campus field trips. | With COVID restrictions being less strict, classroom teachers are planning to resume off campus trips. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prof\&Curriclm Dev Vist Tchr |  | \$5,990.50 | 09800-1192 | Planning, analyzing data, special school wide events | Teachers used this time to plan sharpen the saw activities for students. Time was also allocated to review MRA data and plan next steps. | Due to the sub shortages, teaches were not always able to get coverage for classrooms. | We will be proactive in planning for sub days. |

