



SAN DIEGO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
Proposition Z School Bond
Charter School Facility Committee

Prop. Z Charter School Facility Committee

TIME and DATE: 10:30 AM, Monday, September 9, 2013

PLACE: Physical Plant and Operations, Training Room(s) A and B, 4860 Ruffner St, San Diego, 92111

MINUTES

Committee Members: Bixby, Durfee, McElrath (*Vice Chair*), Parsons, Premack (*Chair*), Sciarretta, (*One Vacancy*)

AGENDA ITEMS	ASSIGNMENTS/UNDERSTANDINGS
Call to Order (Chair <i>PREMACK</i>)	Meeting was called to order by Chair <i>PREMACK</i> at 10:30 AM.
Roll Call: (<i>DUKE</i>) (Action)	<p>All Committee members present</p> <p>SDUSD Staff present: <i>HARRIS, LESLIE (SITTING IN FOR DULGEROFF), REED-PORTER, SPLITTGERBER, D. PETERSON.</i></p> <p>Charter Community and Public in attendance: <i>D HARRIS, SDGVA; K EVANS, MAGNOLIA SCIENCE ACADEMY; KARIN MARSOLAIS, PREUSS; X LARAY, JEAN KLUVER, MARIA HERDIA, TIM WOLF, KING CHAVEZ; TIM SIMMONS, DARNALL CHARTER; CHRISTINE KUGLEN, INNOVATIONS ACADEMY; NICOLE WAHAB, COLEMAN TECH; BELLE HENCON, JAN PERY, BRETT ADLER, SDGVA; LYBBE ALIPIO, ALTUS; JUSTIEN KESARY.</i></p>
<p>Public Testimony: [No action or discussion may occur regarding public comment on matters not on the agenda. Items may be referred to staff for placement on a future agenda. Public testimony is limited to three (3) minutes per person.]</p> <p>Public testimony requests are to be given to the support coordinator prior to the start of the meeting.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Four (4) members of the Public provided testimony: Two (2) for Item #5 and two (2) for Item #7.3 	
1. Review and Approval of 8/19/13 Minutes (Exhibit) (<i>PREMACK</i>) (Action)	Minutes with the most current revisions were not posted prior to this meeting and were therefore not reviewed and approved by the Committee. This item tabled to the September 30 meeting.
2. Introduction of Dana <i>PETERSON</i> , Senior Project Manager assigned to work with Charter School SDF requests (<i>STANFORD</i>) (Action)	<i>PETERSON</i> was introduced to the Committee and will be the Senior Program Manager assigned to work with Jeff <i>HUDSON</i> in processing Charter School(s) site discretionary spending requests. <i>DURFEE</i> inquired if schools have begun providing names of authorizers/approvers and asked that the Committee be given that information as well. <i>PETERSON</i> agreed to provide.
<p>3. Committee Norms (<i>PREMACK</i>) (Discussion)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Brief discussion of Committee meetings and discussion norms. 	<p>Chair <i>PREMACK</i> reviewed Committee Norms and Expectations as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Avoid engaging in personal attacks No explicit or implied threats Respect the views of others Strive for consensus and avoid obstruction If you disagree with a proposal, offer a constructive alternative

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Be mindful that Committee serves the interest(s) of the Entire Charter Community • Adhere to provisions of the Brown Act in all Committee work
--	---

<p>4. Report out of Ad Hoc Committee on Rationing Methodology (e.g. per-ADA Funding Cap).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review and consider funding rationing methods and formulas (<i>DURFEE, BIXBY, J. DEAN</i>) (Discussion) (Possible Action) 	<p><i>DURFEE</i> provided a handout (<i>Handout 4.1 Funding Allocation Guidelines for Prop. Z Round 1</i>) developed by the Ad Hoc Committee. He stated there is no ‘perfect process’ and stressed the importance of moving the process forward, urging the Committee to review and return to the draft to the Ad Hoc Committee for finalization.</p> <p>The Committee discussed several key variables, identifying several areas of consensus, including defining new schools as “Category 1” as each tranch of bonds is sold, limiting co-pays, and support for a cap in the \$30 thousand range.</p> <p>After Committee discussion, <i>DURFEE</i> moved to dissolve the current Ad Hoc consisting of <i>DURFEE, BIXBY</i> and <i>DEAN</i> (motion seconded by <i>MCEL RATH</i> and carried unanimously).</p> <p><i>DURFEE</i> further moved to create a new Ad Hoc to continue work on the next phase of this issue consisting of <i>DURFEE, PREMACK, BIXBY</i> and <i>DEAN</i>. (motion seconded by <i>SCIARRETTA</i> and carried unanimously).</p> <p>Motion by <i>DURFEE</i> to work under previously CSFC approved authorization to identify and hire a consultant to work on this issue (seconded by <i>SCIARRETTA</i> and carried unanimously)</p>
<p>5. Report out of Ad Hoc Committee on funding Applications and Scoring Rubric.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review and consider draft application and rubrics for planning and project grants and related recommendation on funding for consulting assistance. (<i>DURFEE, PREMACK, SCIARRETTA, D. HARRIS</i>) (Exhibit) (Discussion) (Possible Action) <p>5.1 Preliminary and Advance Planning Grant review Rubric & Application</p>	<p>Public Testimony by <i>L ALIPIO</i> of ALTUS School was given relative to the % of reasonable reserve required by the current Committee drafted Rubric. She requested the CSFC revisit the issue and conduct further research before making final decisions on what is reasonable % requirement for facility lease/cost.</p> <p>Jean <i>KLUVER</i> gave testimony regarding data compiled in a privately funded research project she was involved in relative to Charter Growth. She indicated the funding allocations the CSFC is looking at make sense but also cautioned there is tremendous need in a lot of the newer schools and was glad to see that “need” was taken into account in the Rubric.</p>
<p>6. Survey – Status of response(s) (<i>PREMACK</i>) (Discussion) (Action)</p>	<p>37 responses have been received to date. <i>PREMACK</i> will be working on a spreadsheet to track the data received.</p>

<p>7. Air conditioning and other district facilities initiatives</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Briefing by district staff on district air conditioning, i21, and e-rate projects. Initial discussion of how to address charter school participation in these district initiatives. (<i>LESLIE, STANFORD</i>) (Discussion) 	<p>Chair <i>PREMACK</i> moved to hear this item early in the agenda to accommodate the attending members of the public with an interest in this issue. SDUSD Staff and Committee member have received numerous e-mails/letters from parents at Magnolia Science Academy and Iftin expressing concern over health issues related to the current heat wave and lack of air conditioning in the facilities.</p> <p>Public Testimony was given by two (2) members of the public to express their concerns relative to the health and safety of students and staff given the current heat wave.</p> <p>Both testimony and correspondence questioned the feasibility of ‘fast tracking’ the installation of Air Conditioning.</p> <p>Evan <i>LESLIE</i>, Project Management Supervisor for Climate Zones 3, 4, & 5 responded to the complaint(s) with a history of the issue relative to Bond approval and Board actions. Of note is the Board action to remove the 2000 ‘classrooms with the highest heat’ and run a ‘sub program’ to expedite A/C installation. The construction for this Program is slated to begin in December and be completed within 18-24 months. However, at some point Charters were removed from this group to which <i>MCEL RATH</i> commented that Charters were not made aware of the exclusion. <i>LESLIE</i> stated if CSFC were to obtain approval from the BOE, Charters could be wrapped into the existing A/C package and be folded into that timeline. <i>MCEL RATH and PREMACK will follow-up inquire as to charter inclusion in the 2000-classroom list and related funding issues.</i></p> <p>Questions from the Public and Charter Community members present, relative to immediate measures, were advised to pursue the purchase of non-permanent items such as fans and misters thru discretionary funds.</p>
<p>8. ICOC Report out (<i>DURFEE</i>) (Discussion)</p>	<p><i>DURFEE provided a brief update on pertinent ICOC matters.</i></p>
<p>9. Future Meeting Dates and Agenda Items (<i>PREMACK</i>) (Action)</p>	<p><i>PREMACK noted that that the start time will remain at 10:30 a.m. and asked that members be prepared to meet for three hours at the next meeting to ensure strong progress. Upcoming agenda items to include revised rationing methodology, air conditioning update, and project application.</i></p>
<p>10. ADJOURNMENT (<i>PREMACK</i>) (Action)</p>	<p>Meeting adjourned at 12:45 pm.</p>

Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 compliant. For disability-related modification or accommodation including auxiliary aids or services, please call the Support Coordinator 24 –hours in advance Monday through Friday to ensure availability (858) 637-3609. Brown Act Legal Posting Location: The Board Office notice and the agenda are posted on the front entrance window at the Eugene Brucker Education Center and at the site where the meeting(s) take place. The Prop. Z website is a courtesy posting only and is not the legal posting site for 72-hour noticing. Web posting(s): <http://sandi.net/propz/50502> .